Patients with multiple sclerosis in a war zone: coping strategies associated with reduced risk for relapse
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Abstract
The objective of this article is to examine the role of coping strategies in multiple sclerosis outcomes among civilians under a military attack during the 2006 war between Hezbollah and Israel. Participants were 156 patients with relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis. We analysed the relationships between coping and emotional distress and between coping and multiple sclerosis exacerbation. We also assessed a model of the relationship between perceived stress, event-related stress, and coping with increased risk of multiple sclerosis exacerbations using multivariate logistic regression. Ways of coping and subjective stress were evaluated by means of structured interviews using questionnaires previously validated. The more distressed our respondents were during the war, the more likely they were to employ a variety of ways of coping. Correlation coefficients between coping scores and perceived war stress ranged from 0.2 to 0.44 ($p < 0.001–0.01$). Correlation coefficients between the various coping scores and broader indices of distress ranged from 0.28 to 0.5 ($p < 0.001$). Of the remitting patients during and following the war, 47.1% reported the use of ‘direct coping and planning’, compared to 16.7% of patients who relapsed during the same period ($p = 0.014$). ‘Direct coping and planning’ was negatively related to exacerbation of multiple sclerosis symptoms (OR = 0.1, 95% CI = 0.02–0.5). The Nagelkerke $R^2$ was 0.26. Our findings point to an association between ‘direct coping and planning’ and reduced multiple sclerosis relapse rate during wartime. Further research should explore whether the employment of specific ways of coping can reduce the risk for relapse among patients with multiple sclerosis during periods of distress.
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Introduction
Flare-ups in multiple sclerosis (MS) are known to have an unpredictable course that affects the health and well-being of afflicted young adults. Although there is currently no cure for MS, there is great value in identifying variables associated with relapse and remittance among relapsing–remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS) patients.

Over time, several studies designed to test the alleged relationship between stressful life events, psychological distress, and MS exacerbations have been carried out, providing some evidence that stress can trigger an attack. Following a study conducted to evaluate the impact of war stress on MS patients, we recently reported findings indicating that civilian exposure to war stress is associated with an increased risk of MS relapse. As part of the said research project, a complementary study was undertaken aimed at examining the role of coping in stress-related MS relapse and the findings are reported herein. The investigated stressor was the 2006 Second Lebanon War, a 33-day military conflict in Lebanon and northern Israel. The conflict started on 12 July 2006 and continued until a

1Faculty of Social Welfare and Health Sciences, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel.
2Multiple Sclerosis Center & Department of Neurology, Carmel Medical Center, Haifa, Israel.
3Department of Community Medicine & Epidemiology, Carmel Medical Center, Haifa, Israel.
4Rappaport Faculty of Medicine & Research Institute, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, Israel.

Corresponding author:
Dr Eli Somer, PhD, School of Social Work, University of Haifa, Haifa 31905, Israel. Email: somer@research.haifa.ac.il
United Nations-brokered ceasefire went into effect on the morning of 14 August 2006. During the conflict, Hezbollah paramilitaries fired thousands of rockets onto populated regions of northern Israel resulting in considerable destruction, economic damage, and the displacement of hundreds of thousands of Israelis.9

Although coping processes have been examined in earlier research studies of MS patients, very few articles on stress and coping in conjunction with MS have been published. Studies that investigated stress and coping effects on MS symptom exacerbation focused mainly on daily stress such as hassles10,11 or disruption in routine.12 To the best of our knowledge, there is no published research on coping strategies in an MS population exposed to severe stress.

Most studies on adjustment to MS have been based on the stress and coping model of Lazarus and Folkman.13 Coping is classified according to problem-focused strategies, with an active, direct attempt to change stressful situations, or emotion-focused coping styles that reduce negative emotions aroused by the stressor.14 Results suggest that perceived stress and coping strategies, such as emotion-focused coping, contribute to difficulties in adjusting to MS, while proactive and meaning-based coping strategies appear to enhance adjustment.15–21 While some data points to the positive effects that certain coping strategies might have on self-report measures of physical well-being (see, e.g., McCabe22), little is known about the relationships between specific ways of coping and physician-verified MS relapse–remission.

Data demonstrating that post-traumatic war stress is associated with increased risk of MS exacerbations was presented in our first communication on this research project,8 in which we reported that during the month-long war there were 18 relapses among our patients, compared with 1–6 relapses in similar time periods over the 12 months prior to the war. We found no increase in relapse rate during the 3 months that followed the war. The percentage of patients reporting the experience of intense subjective stress during the hostilities was significantly higher among respondents with wartime relapse compared to the rest of the patients. The proportion of MS patients reporting high levels of distress associated with exposure to rocket attacks, displacement from home, and perceived life threat, was significantly higher in relapsing patients compared to those in remission.8

In this study, we aimed at identifying coping strategies among threatened MS patients associated with reduced MS symptoms. Since exposure to the stress of war has already been established as a potential risk factor for an MS relapse,8 it was important to ascertain the specific ways of coping that are best related to positive MS outcome during a military attack. We hypothesized that problem-focused coping strategies would be related to MS remission, but that emotion-focused coping would not be associated with reduced MS symptoms.

Methods

Study population and procedure

Participants were 156 consecutive RRMS patients (121 women, 35 men) who attended an MS clinic for a pre-scheduled follow-up examination during the fourth month following the end of the war.8 All these visits were scheduled prior to the onset of the war as part of the MS clinic’s routine clinical follow-up program. No ‘emergency’ (non-pre-scheduled) visits were included. Of 175 pre-scheduled visits, the 156 patients included in the study were those who actually attended the scheduled visit in the fourth month following the war. This constitutes 89% compliance and is within the 85–90% range of compliance at our MS centre. This indicates a low ‘no-show’ that defines our sample as an accurate representation of our MS clinic’s patient population. All participants had been examined routinely at our clinic, in addition to assessments at disease exacerbations, during the 12 months preceding the war. They were all residents of northern Israel, and met the revised McDonald diagnostic criteria.23 Consenting patients completed the research questionnaires immediately during their follow-up examination.

To trace all confirmed and significant relapses that took place during the wartime, and to avoid the inclusion of ‘pseudo-relapses’, we interviewed all patients using a validated questionnaire for MS symptoms and disability.24 Only relapses that met the following three criteria were included in the analysis: those that were characterized by the emergence of new, or the worsening of existing, symptoms for more than 24 hours in the absence of fever or infection;25 those that were described in the interview regarding the study period as inflicting ‘considerable functional impairment’; those that were also documented in the patient’s medical record as exacerbation that indicated corticosteroid treatment. We compared the number of confirmed relapses during and following the war to the number during the same time period in the preceding year. The dates of exacerbations in the 12 months prior to the war were extracted from the medical records. All of these relapses were confirmed by a neurologist and were treated by corticosteroids.

The Helsinki Committee of the Carmel Medical Center, Haifa, approved the study and all the participants provided informed consent.
Neurological impairment

Neurological impairment was assessed with Kurtzke’s Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS).\textsuperscript{26}

Exposure measurement

Patients were presented with a list of potential wartime stressors and were asked to indicate if they had been exposed to each traumatic event during the war, and if so, how subjectively distressful was it on a four-point Likert scale (0 = not affected at all; 1 = slightly affected; 2 = moderately affected; 3 = severely affected). The inquired war stressors included: exposure to air raid sirens warning of incoming rockets; displacement from home; perceived life threat; hiding in bomb shelters; home damaged by a rocket hit; physical injury; financial loss because of disruption of business; forced separation from close relatives because of their military recruitment or displacement; and the death of a family member or a friend during a rocket attack.

Coping and stress-related measurement

For further assessment of subjective stress during the war, we used the abridged four-item version of the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS).\textsuperscript{27} The PSS is designed to measure the degree to which situations in one’s life are experienced as uncontrollable, unpredictable, and overwhelming and consequently stressful. Respondents were asked how often, during the 2006 war, they: 1) were unable to control the important things in their lives; 2) were confident about their ability to handle personal problems; 3) felt that things were going their way; and 4) felt difficulties were piling up so high that they could not overcome them. The score of the four items is a number between 0 and 4 (0 = never, 1 = almost never, 2 = sometimes, 3 = fairly often, 4 = very often). PSS scores are obtained by reversing responses (e.g. 0 = 4, 1 = 3, 2 = 2, 3 = 1, and 4 = 0) to the two positively stated items (items 2 and 3) and then averaging across all scale items. This scale has been shown to be reliable and was criterion-validated, demonstrating strong relationships with other measures of stress.\textsuperscript{1} The Chronbach alpha for the PSS in our study was 0.73.

We also administered the Symptom Checklist 10 (SCL-10).\textsuperscript{28,29} The SCL-10 is a global index of psychopathology likely to measure dysphoria (e.g. having no interest in things, feeling blue), demoralization (e.g. feeling hopeless about the future, feeling worthless), and anxiety (feeling tense or keyed-up, feeling afraid of open spaces). The SCL-10 is measured on a five-point Likert scale with higher scores indicating elevated distress and with a reported alpha coefficient of 0.88. The Chronbach alpha for the SCL-10 in our study was also 0.88.

To control for adverse life events unrelated to the war, we asked our patients to indicate if they had experienced other specific life events during the said war or in the preceding year. We addressed events that had previously been shown to be related to MS exacerbations:\textsuperscript{30} death in the family, marital and job conflicts, financial difficulties, major illness of self (other than MS) or of a close relative.

We used the Brief COPE questionnaire,\textsuperscript{31} an abbreviated, 28-item version of the COPE that Carver, Scheier, and Weintraub\textsuperscript{25} developed as a comprehensive questionnaire of 14 theoretically derived coping styles or strategies [e.g. I’ve been learning to live with it (acceptance)]. Respondents were explicitly instructed to address the recent war (not their illness) as the target stressor and were asked to what extent they had been doing what the items said.

In health psychology, the COPE and the Brief COPE have predicted clinically relevant outcome across many stressful situations and populations.\textsuperscript{31,33,34} The scales of the Brief COPE can be grouped into adaptive versus non-adaptive, as well as emotion-focused versus problem-focused, scales.

Principal components factor analysis with varimax rotation was used to explore the factor structure of the Brief COPE. The result was a nine-factor structure accounting for 67% of the total variance. Following are the nine factors in descending strength: seeking social support (10.7%); positive reframing (8.9%); active distraction (7.9%); turning to religion (7.8%); denial of distress (7.4%); direct coping and planning (7%); self-blame and substance use (7%); acceptance (5.9%); behavioural disengagement and venting of emotions (4.5%). The numbers in parenthesis represent the percentage of the total variance attributable to each factor.

Internal consistency coefficients of all scales were acceptable; Chronbach alphas in our sample ranged between 0.60 and 0.79. Two coping styles—substance use and venting of emotions—were not sufficiently reliable (0.4); however, these unreliable subscales represented coping strategies that were used infrequently (Table 1). The coping score for each factor was determined by averaging the scores of its individual items. For further analysis, a dichotomous variable was created from each factor so that a coping score greater than 2 was considered an indication for the use of a particular coping style. Setting a cut-off
score for screening purposes is a matter of clinical or research judgment (e.g. see Sellick and Edwardson and Smits et al.). To minimize false positives, we regarded 3 as the minimal score indicative of reasonable likelihood that a specific coping strategy had been employed.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS 15.0 statistical package (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Bivariate relationships between stress and coping were tested with Pearson's correlations for continuous data. Chi-square calculations were employed to assess the relationship between dichotomous variables: ways of coping, stress measures, and MS relapse. To assess the association of variables we found to be associated with increased risk of MS exacerbations during the war (perceived stress, event-related stress, and coping), a multivariate logistic regression model with a backward selection procedure was used. The independent coping variables were selected on theoretical grounds derived from the instrument's original factor analysis. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated from the model.

**Results**

**Patient characteristics**

The study population consisted of 156 RRMS patients (Table 2), 121 (78%) women and 35 (22%) men, averaging 44 ± 12 years in age. Time since diagnosis was 10 ± 7 years with mean Kurtzke EDSS of 2.8 ± 1.8. The majority of our population (81%) was treated with disease modifying drugs, without interruption of treatment during the war. Most patients (82%) had not experienced a clinical relapse in the 12 months preceding the war, while the others had between one and four relapses. Seventy-seven percent of participants confirmed staying at home for at least one week after the war broke out and 72% stayed at home throughout the war period (Table 3). Patients who relapsed during the war were not significantly different from their counterparts with wartime remission in terms of age, gender, duration of illness, EDSS, relapses in the year previous to the war, treatment with...
immunomodulatory agents, or the duration of home stay during the war.

Coping and emotional distress

Positive and significant correlations were found between the use of various coping styles and measures of psychological distress, namely the PSS and the SCL-10 (Table 2). Correlation coefficients between the PSS and the various coping scores ranged from 0.2 to 0.44 \( (p < 0.001 - 0.01) \). Correlation coefficients between the SCL-10 and the various coping scores ranged from 0.28 to 0.5 \( (p < 0.001) \). The more distressed our respondents were during the war, as indicated by increased difficulties with the threats of war (PSS) and by elevated psychopathology scores (SCL-10), the more likely they were to employ a variety of ways of coping. For example, distressed patients used not only more denial, disengagement, and venting, but also sought more social support and tended to use more active coping.

Coping and gender

Compared with men, women appeared to employ a variety of coping strategies more intensively; however, as shown in Table 2, three ways of coping were distinctly more likely to be utilized by women: seeking social support \( (p = 0.01) \), turning to religion \( (p = 0.03) \), and active distraction \( (p = 0.01) \).

Coping and MS

Patients who reported the use of ‘direct coping and planning’ (e.g. I did things to improve the situation; I tried to develop a strategy, an action plan) were more likely to have enjoyed a remission during and following the war. Of those patients who relapsed during the war, 16.7% received an average ‘direct coping and planning’ score that was greater than 2 (indicating use of this coping style) compared to 47.1% of those who were in remission \( (p = 0.014) \) (Figure 1). The use of other coping strategies was not related to MS relapse during the war. Although women differed from men in their ways of coping, their likelihood for MS

---

**Table 2. Coping and emotional distress**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coping Style</th>
<th>Percent using coping style(^a)</th>
<th>( P) value(^b)</th>
<th>PSS(^c) – Coping(^d) scoring correlations</th>
<th>SCL-10(^e) – Coping(^d) scoring correlations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct coping and planning</td>
<td>Female: 41% (n = 121) Male: 32% (n = 35)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.22 ( (p = 0.006) )</td>
<td>0.3 ( (p &lt; 0.001) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seeking social support</td>
<td>Female: 38% (n = 121) Male: 15% (n = 35)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.4 ( (p &lt; 0.001) )</td>
<td>0.4 ( (p &lt; 0.001) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turning to religion</td>
<td>Female: 35% (n = 121) Male: 15% (n = 35)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.2 ( (p = 0.01) )</td>
<td>0.36 ( (p &lt; 0.001) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denial of distress</td>
<td>Female: 15% (n = 121) Male: 9% (n = 35)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.31 ( (p &lt; 0.001) )</td>
<td>0.35 ( (p &lt; 0.001) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral disengagement and venting of emotions</td>
<td>Female: 11% (n = 121) Male: 9% (n = 35)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.44 ( (p &lt; 0.001) )</td>
<td>0.5 ( (p &lt; 0.001) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-blame and substance use</td>
<td>Female: 2.5% (n = 121) Male: 0% (n = 35)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>0.28 ( (p &lt; 0.001) )</td>
<td>0.28 ( (p &lt; 0.001) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance</td>
<td>Female: 45% (n = 121) Male: 38% (n = 35)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active distraction</td>
<td>Female: 54% (n = 121) Male: 29% (n = 35)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive reframing</td>
<td>Female: 32% (n = 121) Male: 41% (n = 35)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Percentage of participants who scored higher than 2 on a four-point scale, indicating use of the particular coping style.

\(^b\)\( P\) -value for the comparison of the proportions of female and male patients using a given coping mechanism.

\(^c\)The score of the perceived Stress Scale (PSS) is a number between 0 and 4—a higher score denotes high subjective stress.

\(^d\)Use of the various coping styles on a four-point scale—a higher score indicates use of the coping style.

\(^e\)Symptom Checklist 10 (SCL-10) is a global index of dysphoria, demoralization, and anxiety, measured on a five-point Likert scale.

**Table 3. Patients’ characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N 156</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>121 (78%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>35 (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Mean ± SD (range) 44 ± 12 (17–71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of illness</td>
<td>Mean ± SD (range) 10 ± 7 (1–35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSS</td>
<td>Mean ± SD (range) 2.8 ± 1.8 (0–6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapses 6/05–6/06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>128 (82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One</td>
<td>18 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–4 relapses</td>
<td>10 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease modifying drugs</td>
<td>Number (percent) 126 (81%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stayed home during the war</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least a few days</td>
<td>144 (92%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than one week</td>
<td>120 (77%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Throughout the war</td>
<td>113 (72%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EDSS: Expanded Disability Status Scale.
In the present study on MS during war we found that war stress was associated with increased risk of exacerbations in patients with MS. The proportion of MS patients reporting high levels of distress associated with exposure to the war threats was significantly higher in relapsing patients compared with those in remission. In the present study on MS during war we wished to explore the relationship between specific ways of coping with war and the effect of war stress on MS exacerbations. As previously indicated, the respondent group in our study was accurately representative of our MS clinic’s patient population.

Primarily, our data suggest that the more distressed our respondents had been in reaction to the threats of war, the more likely they were to employ a variety of ways of coping. This outcome is in line with previous findings demonstrating that psychological coping can be positively related to stress: coping strategies tended to be more frequently employed under greater overall adversity and perceived stress during a terror campaign in Israel.37,38

More women than men sought social support, turned to religion, and actively distracted from their sources of stress. Our results are consistent with findings showing that women made greater use of a variety of coping behaviours than do men39,40 and were better able to psychologically buffer the debilitating aspects of MS.41 Notably, however, despite previous findings suggesting that coping can moderate the relationship between stress and the MS disease activity12 we found no evidence that women fared better than men on MS indices. A probable explanation for this finding is anchored in the fact that women did not differ significantly from men in their usage of ‘direct coping and planning’, a coping style found associated with MS remission. Another possible explanation for the seemingly ineffective coping is associated with the hypothesis that intensity of coping can sometimes be an expression of, rather than a buffer against, distress.

It seems that coping-outcome relationships may vary with the intensity of exposure to stress. As demonstrated by Suvak et al.,42 direct or problem-focused coping may be effective at moderate levels, however, at low levels it may be unnecessary, and at high levels, such as during the extreme threats of war, it may be irrelevant. During the war all ways of coping employed by our MS patients failed to shield them from emotional distress. However, patients who reported the use of ‘direct coping and planning’ were more likely to have enjoyed a remission from MS during and following the war. ‘Direct coping and planning’ is a style of coping that involves taking actions to change an uncomfortable or threatening situation. For example, facing the dangers of unpredictable rocket attacks, some of the challenges that were best handled by ‘direct coping and planning’ included the need to prepare bomb shelters for easy access and sustained stay, equipping shelters with supplies, or rescheduling of medical and other appointments. Patients who utilized emotion-focused coping when direct action was necessary suffered more MS relapses than patients who identified the challenges of the war as opportunities for appropriate planning and direct coping.

**Figure 1.** The use of direct coping and planning during the war by relapsing and remitting MS patients. Patients who reported the use of direct coping and planning were more likely to have enjoyed a remission during and following the war. Of those patients who relapsed during the war, 16.7% received an average direct coping and planning score that was greater than 2 (indicating use of this coping style) compared to 47.1% of those who were in remission (p = 0.014).

**Discussion**

In a recently published parallel substudy,8 part of the same research project on the impact of war on MS, we found that war stress was associated with increased risk of exacerbations in patients with MS. The proportion of MS patients reporting high levels of distress associated with exposure to the war threats was significantly higher in relapsing patients compared with those in remission. In the present study on MS during war we...
This finding is in line with data reported by Warren et al., suggesting that patients in an MS exacerbation group used more emotion-focused coping. Our results are also congruent with outcomes reported by Kroencke and Denny, according to which patients in an exacerbation phase of MS approached hassles with a form of coping that was more passive-avoidant or aggressive in style. MS patients have been reported to employ emotion-focused coping to regulate anxiety, depression, anger, and grief, emotions tied to the stressful conditions associated with having an incurable disease. When it is impossible to influence threats, in this case the course and the development of a potentially life-threatening disease, employing direct, active, problem-solving ways of coping to influence the development of the illness could be ineffective. Denial and restraint coping, also seen as an avoidance type of emotion-focused coping, may be a favourable strategy for some distressed MS patients as this can help them reduce emotional pain. On the other hand, avoidance may have a negative impact on a person’s adaptation efforts, particularly in the face of life challenges that require direct engagement.

Finally, we believe that the relationships between external stressors, MS exacerbation, and suspected mechanisms such as hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis and autonomic nervous system activity, merit further investigation, primarily because of their involvement in the physiological response to psychological and physiological stressors and because of their suspected role in the dysregulation of the neuroendocrine-immune networks.

Several limitations should be considered when assessing the outcome of this study. First, our data were collected during the fourth month following the end of the war. Ideally, psychological data should have been collected during the war crisis, though practically, in times of war this is extremely difficult. Although it is unlikely that patients had developed memory biases so soon after the dramatic experiences, our data should still be interpreted with this caveat in mind. Second, the present study focused on clinical assessment of MS disease activity. As the neurological events reported by our patients were confirmed by a medical examination and treated with steroids, it is highly likely that we correctly identified MS relapses. Still, further research should be planned to include biomarkers of the stress response and MRI parameters of disease activity. Third, our data suggest a relationship between planning and direct coping and a lowered risk for MS relapse. Such an association does not necessarily imply that specific behavior can reduce MS attacks.

We are confident that responses represented a fair approximation of the coping employed during the war as participants were explicitly asked to report how they coped with the rocket fire. Nevertheless, it could still be argued that persons who experienced an exacerbation might remember feeling less able to perform active coping. Alternatively, it is conceivable that both outcomes reflect a common causal factor. For example, there could be a central nervous system mechanism that contributes to both an enhanced likelihood for direct coping and a favourable MS outcome. The possibility, however, that the two variables are causally related may open new prevention and intervention options for MS treatment.

Training in coping skills has been shown to be an effective strategy for improving performance and reducing symptomatology in a variety of conditions such as premenstrual symptoms, insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, or end-stage lung disease. A 2-year randomized trial of MS patients revealed that coping skills intervention yielded gains in psychosocial role performance, coping behavior, and numerous aspects of well-being. To the best of our knowledge, no studies on the effect of enhanced coping skills on health indices have been published to date. Our non-relapsing MS patients tended to identify environmental demands as controllable challenges rather than uncontrollable threats. Controllability awareness, the ability to distinguish between controllable and controllable situations and the proper application of the most effective coping style, should be investigated in future MS research to determine its effect on MS indices. Considered together, the present findings may serve as an additional step on the path of elucidation of coping strategies and their role in the response to stress, depending on the nature, degree, and duration of the stressor, and towards tailoring a multidisciplinary therapeutic approach to the individual patient.
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