T.C. MALTEPE UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF HUMANITIES AND SOCIAL SCIENCES THE DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY

THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MALADAPTIVE DAYDREAMING, ATTENTION DEFICIT-HYPERACTIVITY, PSYCHOLOGICAL WELL-BEING AND ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE

UNDERGRADUATE THESIS

HİLAL YILMAZ

130306076

Thesis supervisor: Assist. Prof. Dr. Kuntay Arcan

İstanbul, June 2018

ABSTRACT

Previous research about maladaptive daydreaming revealed relationship with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. In addition, it was claimed that individuals could be effective on academic achievement and Psychologic Well-being. This thesis builds on the previous literature, and explores what maladaptive daydreaming correlations by focusing on the behavioral dimensions of Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, Psychological Well-being and academic achievement. For this purpose, literature from psychology, neuroscience and cultural studies are synthesized. First of all, Maladaptive Daydreaming was analyzed in these three dimensions and then discussed. Quantitative research has been conducted to examine these correlations. A survey was applied to the students of Maltepe University. The first finding of this research is the relationship between Maladaptive daydreaming, Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder and Psychological Well-being each other. On the other hand academic achievement is associated only with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. In conclusion, this thesis purposes the relationship bertween Maladaptive Daydreaming, Attention deficit Hyperactivity Disorder, Psychological well-being and academic achievement of students, raises awareness of the psychology literature and makes suggestions for future research.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Concepts of Daydreaming, Mind-wandering, Fantasy and Absorption	2
1.1.2 Development of Maladaptive Daydreaming	4
1.1.3 Maladaptive Daydreaming as a Pathology	6
1.2.1 Attention Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder	6
1.2.2 Childhood with ADHD	7
1.2.3 Etiology of ADHD	8
1.2.4 Prevelance and Gender of ADHD	9
1.2.5 Adults with ADHD	9
1.2.6 DSM-V for ADHD	10
1.3. Relationship Between Maladaptive Daydreaming, Attention Deficit-Hype Disorder and Academic Performance	<u> </u>
1.4 Psychological Well-being (PWB)	11
1.5.1 Aim of the Study	12
1.5.2 Hypotheses	13
CHAPTER 2 :METHODOLOGY	14
2.1 Participants	14
2.2 Measures	14
2.2.1 The 16- item Maladaptive Dydreaming Scale	14
1 2 0	
2.2.2 Adult Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Self-Report Scale (AS	RS-v1.1)15
	•
2.2.2 Adult Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Self-Report Scale (AS	15
2.2.2 Adult Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Self-Report Scale (AS 2.2.3 Psychological Well-being Scale (PWB)	15
2.2.2 Adult Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Self-Report Scale (AS 2.2.3 Psychological Well-being Scale (PWB)	15
2.2.2 Adult Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Self-Report Scale (AS 2.2.3 Psychological Well-being Scale (PWB) CHAPTER 3: Analysis 3. 1: Descriptive Information of Mesures	
2.2.2 Adult Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Self-Report Scale (AS 2.2.3 Psychological Well-being Scale (PWB) CHAPTER 3: Analysis 3. 1: Descriptive Information of Mesures 3.2 Differences of Demographic Variables on The Measures of The Study	
2.2.2 Adult Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Self-Report Scale (AS 2.2.3 Psychological Well-being Scale (PWB) CHAPTER 3: Analysis	

CHAPTER 4: Conclusions	21
4.1 Examination Of Maladaptive Daydreaming with Other Measures	21
Appendix A: Informed Consent Form	24
Appendix B: Demographic Information Form	25
Appendix C: Psychoogical Well-being Scale	25
Appendix D: The 16-item Maladaptive Daydreaming Scale	26
Appendix E: Adult Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Self Refort Scale	29
References:	30

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics	. 16
Table 2. Independent Samples Test	. 17
Table 3. Psychiatric Disorder Diagnosis	
Table 4. MDS-16 ReliabilityStatistis	. 19
Table 5. ASRS-v1.1 Reliability Statistics	. 19
Table 6. PWB Reliability Statistics	. 19
Table 7. Correlations	. 20

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Some people have unconcious mental activity as compulsive and uncontrollable generally. These behaviors provide escaping from aversive emotional experience such as loneliness, rejection and abuse. As academic researchs, daydreaming too have potential merits, but can become harmful if used excessively. Thus maladaptive daydreamers may be dysfunction about daily life. Obsessive-compulsive behavior and thoughts, dissociative disorders, attention deficit and high sence presence during daydreaming are associated with maladaptive daydreaming according to findings of Somer, Lehrfeld, Bigelsen and Jopp study (2016). In generally, daydreaming characterized by dissociation. Dissociation is a important role of normal process and psychophysical mechanism in the psychopathology also it is determined as a lack of the normal integration of thoughts, feelings, and experiences into the stream of consciousness and memory(Bernstein and Putnam, 1986). Butler (2006) examined that normative association experiences. So according to Butler study, while academic psychologists interested in frequency, forms and character of dissociation, clinical psychologists and psychiatries interested in disorder states of dissociation. Individuals have

some degree of dissociation and mostly seems in people have major mental disorders. In the literature, dissociation is often associated with pathology, but not every dissociation experience is pathological such as daydreaming, fantasy, and absorption because of covering normative dissociation of consciousness. Because dissociative experiences are widespread, neutral, and self-conscious losing, these experiences may be unaware (Butler, 2004). So people can use dissociation to smooth or augment daily living namely daydreaming or actively for coping and creativity or recreation (e.g., listening to music, whatching films) or self-soothing and psychological maintenance (e.g., meditation, trance). In sum of dissociation experiences reduces stress, boredom or distress. But the tendency of dissociative experiences can lead to maladaptive situation such as impaired concentration or loosing relation with self and world (Butler,2006).

Some people can have difficulty controlling attention and impulsive behavior. According to researchs, this symptoms affect people's life. Atention Deficit/ hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is one of the most common childhood neurodevelopmental diseases in primary care settings (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Therefore many researchs has been done about this problem in the world. On the other hand, psychiatric disorders in childhood can prevent normal development in the adult period (Ford, Goodman & Meltzer, 2003). Therefore, most of researchers demostrated that ADHD is not only childhood, but also knowing as lifespan disorder (Barkley & McGrough, 2004). Summary, ADHD affect levels of inattention, overactivity and impulsitivity that begin childhood negatively (Wildenauer, 2009). Therefore people can not develop normally to adulthood.

The main purpose of the education system to ensure success, to feel happy, to live in harmony with the people around it, to live in a regular and organized way. Today, studies on ADHD and academic performance are rather limited in our country. On the other hand, there is no study between maladaptive daydreaming and academic performance in our country too. Nevertheless, existing studies have found several findings about the relationship between academic achievement and ADHD. Besides some of studies demostrates that daydreaming affect to academic performance of people.

Consequently, there are a few studies that involve relationship between maladaptive daydreaming, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and academic performance of students. The present study aims to investigate these correlations on students. In the first part of introduction, historical development and definations of maladaptive daydreaming will be

presented. In the second part attention defination-hyperactivity disorder will be described too. In the last part studies that involves these problems affect to academic performance and psychological well-being will be examined and presented.

1.1 Concepts of Daydreaming, Mind-wandering, Fantasy and Absorption

Daydreaming is one of the most impressive phenomena that human beings have (Singer, 1975, 1976; cited in Atli & Koşan, 2017). While reading, studying, or carrying out other daily tasks, our mind often moves away from activities and focused on our inner thoughts or unrelated situations such as thinking about past memories or future events. Daydreaming is determined as mental process that involves imaginal activity (Klinger, 2008). Freud (1900/1961, 1908/1953; cited in Klinger, 2008) was associated fantasy (e.g, departs from reality or imagining incomplete of wishes). Also mental images based on perceptual representations from stored information. Besides that, daydreaming includes about half of all human thinking (Gilbert & Killingsworth, 2010; cited in Somer, Lehrfeld, Bigelsen & Jopp, 2016) and this mental activity occurs when individual is alone (Singer, 1966, cited in Somer, 2002). Some people want to change their unsatisfaction life and daydream about wishes though ashamed this situation (Freud, 1908). There are some findings about relationship between life satisfaction and daydreaming in literature. Especially Mar, Mason and Litvack (2011) studied with people for seeing relationship between daydreaming and life satisfaction. So when people daydream about close to them, shows that people have social supported and their life satisfaction is greater.

While daydreaming, people anticipete, rehearse and plan such as imagining their thoughts (Butler, 2006) also they associate with their goals (Klinger, 2008). Moreover, individuals that have healthly psychology, they dream about good feelings while distressed people such as weakness or inadequacy dreams (Gold&Minor,1983; Starker, 1982; cited in Somer 2002). For instance some researches found negative relationship between daydreaming and happiness.

There is very little data in the literature about normal daydreaming. On the other hand, normal positive daydreaming is not risk factor for psychopathology as Klinger (2008) study and most of researches were showed that daydreaming is creative and beneficial (Gold, Gold, et al...1986; cited in Bigelsen & Schupak, 2010). Barth (1997) who psychotherapist and

psychoanalysis, mentioned the importance of daydreaming during therapy sessions of clients in her recent article. She argued about daydreaming allows people to access their inner world and makes it easier to understand themselves. So people have internal awareness and introspection with daydreaming.

Bigelsen, Lehrfeld, Jopp and Somer (2016) argued that subcategory of fantasy is included in daydreaming. Daydreaming and fantasy concepts are quite complex and inadequate. Fantasy determined as imagining symbols of complex object or event (english and english, 1958; cited in Klinger, 2008). People have exessive fantasy tendency to loss awareness of self (Butler, 2016). A publication about measurement of the sustaining fantasy questionnaire demostretes that fantasy resilience based on adapting to painful situations and includes basic structures and processes (Adler, Bernstein, et al.., 2010). The authors defend fantasy begins in chilhood and accompanied by life. As this research, fantasies tendency adapting of painful states. Finally, some people creative defensive against stressful situations through fantasy.

Absorption is experienced in daily activities by some people such as engrossed in pastimes or immersed in work as Butler (2006). So absorption determined as process of human capacity and motivation of engaged in activities by Butler. Tellegen and Atkinson (1974) examined associated concepts of absorption in a their article. They defend that absorption associated with hypnotic susceptibility. They demostrated that hypnotic perfonmance reflects trait absorption. People more concentrate with the focus of attention because of lost environmental and personal contexts during absorption (Butler, 2006). Normative dissociative experiences are based on highly absorbed individuals namely decreasing of sensitivity, reductions of self consciousness, suspension of reality, losing of selfreference because of activating on different areas of brain. Thus, absorption creatives opportunities with fantasy for an escape or coping with challenge or worried situations. In fantasy, is allowed fanciful explorations by absorption and imaginal progess. When people in daydreaming, dissociation is seen with incoluntaries and deficit memory.

As a research, people mind wander in most of daily life (Axelrod, Bar, Lavidor & Lees, 2015). Mind wandering is behavior as spontaneous and self-generated and inwardly directly (inner thought) for mental functions and it includes creativity and future planning. Meanwhile, mindwandering can be affective by external environment. The authors of this article goal is examining relationship between mind wandering and brain stimulation as

mental function. The findings demostrate that frontal lobe and mind wandering are associated eachother.

When everything is taken into account, there is little and complex data about daydreaming and associate of daydreming concepts (Somer, 2002).

1.1.2 Development of Maladaptive Daydreaming

Maladaptive daydreaming (MD) refers extensive fantasy activity that affects human interaction with academic, interpersonal and vocational functioning (Somer, 2002). Nonetheless, maladaptive daydreaming is discused and has little data about development and function as teoritical view. The first publication about MD had six patients that have traumatic experience. The patients could not handle their responsibilities in life. Most of patients suffered from dissociative disorder. The findings about fantasy category (Functions, Themes, and Dynamics) reveals nine themas. Thus the research MD functions included Disengagement from Stress and Pain by Mood Enhancement and Wish Fulfillment Fantasies; and Companionship, Intimacy, and Soothing. At the same time, recurrent MD themes were Violence; Idealized Self; Power and Control; Captivity; Rescue and Escape; and Sexual Arousal. Motifs that were classified as describing MD dynamics were Onset and Kinesthetic elements. In brief, Somer defended that MD is a coping strategy in response to aversive early life experiences. Meanwhile, as mentioned above Somer demostrated relationship between maladaptive daydreaming and traumatic experiences, but other study of Somer and colleauges (2016), they couldn't find associate of maladaptive daydreaming and traumatic experiences.

In addition maladaptive daydreaming refers to excessive daydreaming (Bigelsen and Schupak, 2010). Schupak and Rosenthal (2009) examined a women about suffering from excessive daydreaming like Somer's patients (2002) as case study. In this study demostrates that there is relationship between exessive daydreming and kinesthetic activity such as pacing. The authors stated that the patients want to control the fantasies because of caused distress in their life. Finally the patient discovered the web sites about maladaptive daydreming and shared her shame about difficulty of controling.

After the above mentioned, in early stages of maladaptive daydreaming has unusual repetitive movement with hands or arms in childreen (Bridges, Mahone, Prahme & Singer,

2004). This situation is determined as complex physiologic motor streotypes such as falapping, waving, pacing and other ritmic movements. Robinson and colleauges (2014) studied with 10 children for understanding relationship between motor streotypies and intense imagery. The result of this research demostrated that compulsive fantasies have immersive imagery imagery experiences as kinaesthetic activity. These people have psychological problems due to wanting to control of compulsive desire of imagination. Nevertheless, there is not much research on this topic.

Finally, there were too many web sites related to maladaptive daydreaming on the internet as Somer, Somer and Jopp (2016) study. The findings demostrated that three popular themas about maladaptive daydreaming namely being a celebrity or having relationship with celebrity, having an idealized version of self and being in romantic relationship. Furthermore, these people inspired by characters of tv shows, books, movie, videos, imagenery friends or family. The most important difference between maladaptive daydreaming and non-maladaptive daydreaming is that non-maladaptive daydreamers are based on more realistic life. Thus non-maladaptive daydreamers can live more having reality relationships with others. In general, maladaptive daydreamers can sacrifice their sleep to dream also they can be disturbed when their daydream interrupts. Consequently, maladaptive daydreaming that causes distress and unfunctioning in life, must studied more scientificand clinical attention.

1.1.3 Maladaptive Daydreaming as a Pathology

Psychoanalytic inquiry or pyschotherapy research has not recognized daydreams (Somer, 2002). So daydreaming does not take place in Diognastic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorder. Somer, Lehrfeld, Bigelsen and Jopp (2016), demostrated that relationship between yearning and addiction about daydreaming. Thus Maladaptive daydreaming is seen behavoral addiction. Furthermore Somer, Dudek and Ross (2017) determined comorbidity profiles of maladaptive daydreaming. The findings demostrated that most frequent comorbidity disorders are attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (76.9%), anxiety disorder (71.8%) depressive disorder (66.7%) and obsessive-compulsive or related disorder (53.7%). Besides, they defends that maladaptive daydreamers have attepted suicide (28.2%). According to the above case study (Schupak and Rosenthal, 2009) with a women, demostrated that imaginative episodes associated with symptoms of mood, anxiety, personality, schizotypal, dissociative, and attentional disorders. So the women started the fluvoxamine therapy used

for obsessive- compulsive disorders because of believing increase control of daydreaming experiences. The patient continued the medicine for 10 years because controling easier to daydreaming. Consequently, the findings do not include normative information about abnormally extensive daydreaming as pathology because of having little data in literature (Somer, 2002).

1.2.1 Attention Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder

Most of scientific and clinic studies have focused on attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) during the past century. The first scientific article about attention deficit hyperactivity was published by the German physician Hoffman in 19th century (Heinrich Hoffman, cited in Steward, 1970). This book involves ADHD definations on children and this disorder represented by characters such as "Fidgety Phil". But these definations were inadequate for ADHD. Then Still (1902) conceptual this problems as "Defects in Moral Control" and "volitional inhibition" (cited in Anastapoulos, Barkley and Shelton, 1994). Furthermore Douglas (1972) studied with hyperactive children for examining specific disabilities. His findings are important for ADHD diognosis. As his findings, ADHD begins with early ages and this people's behaviors are fragmented and disorganized. So he argued that hyperactive children have motor problems such as sustained atteniton and impulse control. So he defended that difficulty of contol sustain attention and compulsive responding succesfully. Therefore this situation affect to renamed to disorder on American Psychiatric Disorder in 1980 (Anastopoulos, Barkley & Shelton, 1994). Summary, this ideas of problems have based on long tradition. This disorder has evolved over time and became clearly for neurodevelopment problems. And also the social and personal risks have revealed and the ADHD diognosis had improvement on the world. National ADHD Awareness Day has been recognized every day since september 7, 2014 (Kring, Johnson & Davison, 2013).

1.2.2 Childhood with ADHD

Childhood period has important changes such as social, physical and cognitive development (Ercan, Bilaç, Özaslan & Ardic, 2015). Barkley (2010) determined hyperactivity as a state of heightened motor and emotional activity or excitability on his book. Furthermore he determined impulsivity is a lack of ove one's actions and words before

speaking or carrying out actions. Shortly, impulsivity also determined as acting without thinking (Zalsman & Shilton, 2016). The hyperactivity is known by most of people especially teachers and parents (Kring, Johnson & Davison, 2013). For instance, a child is constantly motion such as tapping fingers, jiggling legs, impatienting to speak or fidgeting. In additional the symptoms begin before the age of five (Visser et al.., 2014). Moreover, this children have haphazard activities such as wearing out their clothes quickly. Their parents and teachers are very complaining about this situation. So this children may meet diognosis of ADHD because of having difficulty concentrating overly. Besides Pliszka (2007) discussed he clinical evoluation for ADHD. So as him views involves that clinicians should interact with child's parents because of unawaring their distress. Also they should learn to family's social history for understanding to etiology of symptoms. Summary, attenton deficit hyperactivity is seen in esarly childhood and affect their functions negatively. In fact this children have not ability to focus on single stimulus for a period time (Shilton & Zalsman, 2016). In addition, many stimulus affect child's attention easyly.

1.2.3 Etiology of ADHD

Attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder's etiology has various factors such as neurobiologic, genetic, and psychologic (Davison, Johnson & Kring, 2013).

Firstly neurobiologic factors role on the brain funtioning such as differencies between neurotransmitter dopamine. Furthermore, perinatal-prenatal factors and environmental toxins effective to ADHD diognosis (Davison, Johnson & Kring, 2013).

Secondly a lot of research has been done on the relationship between gene and environment. Most of genetic studies demostrated that biologic parents have risk for their children with ADHD. For example ADHD heritability is 75% approximately and interact with environmental factors as researchs (cited in Cortese, 2012). Cooper, Eyre, Longrey and Thapar (2013) studied risk factors of ADHD critically. They findings demostrated that some studies have misinterpretation in genetic factors. So they focused on this problem and argued that environment and gene should be taken together. Because environmental factors or culture are also effective on people. Therefore heritability consistancy may not clear for ADHD.

Thirdly psychologic factors mostly involves pattern and child relationships and culture features in ADHD. Most of authors argue that childhood experiences are important for this disorder. On the other hand this disorder seen in different cultures and countries with various symptoms (Faraone, Sergeant, et al.., 2003). For example sometimes socioeconomic factors also affect to this disorder (Epstein, Rabiner, Johnson, et al.., 2007, cited in Stockman, 2009). As this research findings that wealthiest children more tendency to ADHD than poorest children. In additionally, the wealthiest children more likely to continuous to treatment. Besides if parental relationship is bad, children more likely to ADHD such as divorce situations on Ercan, Bilaç, Özaslan and Ardic (2015) study's findings in Turkey. Briefly people can have overwhelming experiences in early ages. So this situation may occurs ADHD symptoms varying from person to person.

From all reasons above, environmental risks, neurobiologic factors and genetic affects on the ADHD especially family effects in childhood (Wildenaurer, 2009).

1.2.4 Prevelance and Gender of ADHD

There is a lot of compexity about prevelance of ADHD because of lacking concrete foundation in researches (Sheki, Kashani et al.., 1985). Prevelance of disorder changes as diognastic criteria, methods and population of research. Attention deficit and hyperactivity disorder has is seen in one of twenty children as American Psychiatric Association (1994) and seen mostly USA. Then Faraone and colleauges (2003) studied about prevelance of ADHD with social and cultural interaction. So in findings demostrated that ADHD is seen in most cultures or places. Furthermore Ford, Goodman and Meltzer (2003) studied with 10,438 British children and adolescents as structured interview. As this findings, the prevalans was 9,5% in British children and adolescents. Finally as most of research ADHD is seen between 5% and 10% in children. Besides the heritability of this disorder to adulthood rate is seen between 50% and 60% on this study.

In addition, there is few and infecient studies about prevelance of pschiatric disorders in Turkey like other developing countries. Ercan and colleguages (2015) studied for prevelance in Turkey. So findings demostrated that the prevelance of children with ADHD is 12,7%. Furthermore one of the most frequently seen psychiatric disorder is attention deficit hyperectivity disorder. Most of findings shows that ADHD seen mostly boys in Turkey or

other countries (Davison, Johnson & Kring, 2013). But the reasons of gender differiences are unclear in epidemiology (Wildenauer, 2009). For example Doğan and colleauges (2009) studied Turkish version of attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder self-report scale for development with 579 adult students. Their findings demostrated that prevelance of ADHD seen most in girls than boys. Summary prevelence and gender differences can change from culture to culture.

1.2.5 Adults with ADHD

Sometimes psychiatric disorders in childhood may affect the normal development of adults (Ercan, Bilaç, Özaslan & Ardic, 2015). In fact ADHD symptoms decreases over time normally (Davison, Johnson & Kring, 2013). But however these symptoms do not completely disappear. Most of studies involves childhood period. So there are few studies about ADHD on literature. Barbaresi and colleguages (2013) studied for prevelance of ADHD. The findings demostrate that approximately one-third children with ADHD continue to adulthood period.

Adults with ADHD have some problems such has intimacy, marital function, cohabitation, disability to planning, unbalanced sexual activities, menaging time, paying attention or medical problems (Barkley, 2010). Furthermore as Adler and Cohen's (2004) study, these adults with ADHD have mood symptoms and problems at work or home. Also child symptoms and adult symptoms of ADHD are similar. For instance aimless restlessness in childhood can be purposeful restlessness in adulthood. These people can have unbalanced relations such as marital problems. So these adults with ADHD have diffuculties for years and these symptoms can occur dangerous consequence in their life namely accidents at work. Consequently the aim of the solution not only current distress but also must improves adult functioning (Ford, Goodman & Meltzer, 2003).

1.2.6 DSM-V for ADHD

DSM-5 includes three subtypes about ADHD such as inattentive, hyperactive-impulsive and combined (inattentive and hyperactivity-impulsive) types for source disorder (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

Inattention: To diagnose, there must be 6 adversely affected by the following indications and it should last at least 6 months. For older adolescents and adults (age 17 and older), at least five symptoms are required.

Difficulties paying attention to details or majes careless mistakes

Having difficulty sustaining attention in tasks or activities

Often does not seem to listen

Sturuggle with follow throug on instructions

Often has difficulty organizing tasks and activities

Often avoids, dislikes, or is reluctant to engage in tasks that require sustained mental effort

Often loses things necessary for tasks or activities

Often easily distracted by extraneous stimuli

Often forgetful in daily activities

Hyperactivity and Impulsivity: To diagnose, there must be 6 adversely affected by the following indications and it should last at least 6 months. For older adolescents and adults (age 17 and older), at least five symptoms are required.

Often fidgets with or taps hands or feet or squirms in seat.

Having difficulty standing in a sitting position

Often runs about or climbs exessively with children; feeling restless with adults

Otten unable to engage in leisure activities easiliy

Often "on the go" acting as if "driven by a motor"

Talking exessively

Often blurts out an answer before a question has been completed

Often has difficulty waiting his or her turn

Oftern interrupts or intrudes on others

1.3. Relationship Between Maladaptive Daydreaming, Attention Deficit-Hyperactivity Disorder and Academic Performance

The main purpose of the education system is to making the person succeed, feeling happy, adapting to around, to living in a regular and organized way. According to Bigelsen, Lehrfeld, JopP and Somer study, people with maladaptive daydreaming demostrate that this situation occurs unfunctioning to academic tasks. For example, participants with maladaptive daydreaming on this study had interferenced with their life goals in 63% of time. Furthermore, they reported that having problems with 61% academic/occupational goals.

Also Manuzza, Klein, Bessler, Malloy and LaPadula (1993) studied with young adults (ages between 13 and 19) for role of attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. So their findings demosted that people with ADHD have low academic performance. Besides Somer, Dudek and Ross (2018) studied with 39 participants as structured interview and they determined comorbidity maladaptive daydreaming as 76.9%. In additionally there are a lot of researchs that supporting these relationships on the literature. On the other hand Sparks, Javorsky and Philips (2004) studied with people with ADHD diognosis as empirical investigation and they couldn't relationship between academic performance and attention deficit.

1.4 Psychological Well-being (PWB)

Well-being is a concept that defined psychological experiences and functionality. Although the concept of well-being is frequently searched in the literature, the research areas are limited. In general well-being is reinforced with good feelings. Keyes, Shmotkin, and Ryff (2002) pointed out that the concept of psychological well-being, meaningfulness of objectives, individual development, and the ability to establish healthy relationships with others. At the same time they expressed with hedonist and eudaimonic traditions. The meaning of hedonism includes the pleasure, approach of seeking happiness, away from pain. For instance, family and friendship associations are delightful to the individual and help to maintain happiness. It therefore refers to different characteristics of what it means to be psychological well-being and PWB tradition focuses on human development and the existential challenges of life (Oymak, 2017). At the same time, there are two areas of well-being studies: subjective well-being for quality of life quality and psychological well-being based on theories of clinical and adult developmental psychology for self-realization and meaningful lif (Telef, 2013). Both traditions include humanistic values that celebrate human capacity to examine what a good life is (Keyes, Shmotkin and Ryff, 2002).

In terms of emotional characteristics, Cecen (2002) argues that protecting one's self, affecting decision-making skills, and benefiting someone at the point of action. When these characteristics of emotions are taken into consideration, he stated that he can affect good formation considerably. There is seen that more negative situations are investigated in the discipline of psychology. Because it is aimed to reach the health of the individual by focusing on removing the negative feelings. Therefore, positive feelings seem to be ignored in literature. For example, a person who feels bad may want to go to the consultant or psychologist, but a person who feels good may not need it. Mental health research has often

focused on negative health—for example on depression and anxiety. (Springer ve Hauser, 2006). Therefore, researches are generally focused on negative emotions (Oymak, 2017). Researchs on happiness, life satisfaction and joy are inadequate (Myers ve Diener, 1997). However, the desire to examine positive aspects of mental health as well as negative ones is increasing day by day.

1.5.1 Aim of the Study

The main purpose of this study is to investigate the relationship between maladaptive daydreaming, attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder and academic performance among university students. This study provides to understanding maladaptive daydreaming and attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder have reciprocal causality and they affect to academic performance of students negatively. This study allows that demonstration to difference age and gender of students with this distresses.

1.5.2 Hypotheses

The hypotheses of the present study are following:

- **a.** Students with higher levels of maladaptive daydraming are also more likely to cause attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder, and psychological well-being
- **b.** Students with higher levels of maladaptive daydreaming and/or attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder are also more likely to cause low academic performance.
- **c.** Students with higher levels of attention deficit-hyperactivity disorder are also more likely to low academic performance, low psychological well-being scores.
- **d.** Students with higher levels of psychological well-being are also more likely to cause low academic performance
- e. Female students morelikely have high levels of maladaptive daydreaming, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder, psychological well-being and academic achievement than male participants.

CHAPTER 2

METHODOLOGY

In this section, analyzes and findings about the aims of this researcher will be processed. The validity reliability studies and statistical techniques of the scales used in this study are explained.

2.1 Participants

The research will be study with 150 students (men and women) of Human Sciences Faculty, Maltepe University. Data collection method will be through paper and pencil.

2.2 Measures

The demographic information form was prepared by the researcher and was aimed at gathering information about participants' sex, age grade point avarage and pathological distress. It is aimed to collect information about the maladaptive daydreaming level of the individuals and the attention deficit-hyperactivity levels which are thought to affect the

academic achievement and psychological well-being levels with this prepared form. Three scales will be applied to students such as The 16-item Maladaptive Daydreaming Scale (MDS-16), Adult Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Self-report Scale (ASRS-v1.1) and Psychological Well-Being Scale (PWB).

2.2.1 The 16- item Maladaptive Dydreaming Scale

The maladaptive daydreaming scale developed by Somer, Lehrfeld, Bigelsen and Jopp (2015). MDS-16 is a new version of Maladaptive Daydreaming Scale by Somer (2017). The method is discriminate individuals with MD from normal controls and to have good agreement with each other when an MDS cutoff score of 50 (MDS range, 0-100) is used to define Maladaptive Daydreaming. On the other hand in normally Maladaptive Daydreaming Scale is 14 items as a 3-correlated-factors model best presented the underlying dimensions Yearning, Kinesthesia and Impairment. It should be noted that, this scale has no Turkish version. But the authors studied with people from 45 different countries. So there are not many obstacles to the implementation of this scale. Besides Şar (2017) translated Turkish version of this scale (MDS-16).

2.2.2 Adult Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Self-Report Scale (ASRS-v1.1)

The reliability and validitiy of the Turkish version of the World Health Organization's Adult Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder Self-report Scale (ADHD) studied by Doğan, Öncü, Varol-Saraçoğlu and Küçükgöncü (2009). This scale has 18 items based on DSM-IV. Furthermore it has two substyles such as 9 items that involves attention deficit symptoms and 9 items that involves hyperactivity/impulsivity items. This attention deficit dimentions cut off score is 39.53% and hyperactivity/impulsivity dimentions cutoff score is 37.7%. It is a five-point likert-type measure that ranks between "never" and "very often".

2.2.3 Psychological Well-being Scale (PWB)

The psychological well-being scale was developed by Diener and colleagues (2009-2010) to measure socio-psychological well-being, complementing the present good-being measurements. The reliability and validitiy of the Turkish version was studied by Telef (2013). The items of psychological well-being scale are answered between 1-7 as "I absolutely disagree" and "I absolutely agree". All materials are expressed positively. The scores range from 8 (if I do not agree with all the items I agree with) to 56 (if I agree completely with all the items). A high score indicates that the person has many psychological

resources and power. Although individual measures of scale wellness are not available, they provide an overview of positive functions in different areas we believe to be important (Diener et al., 2010).

CHAPTER 3

ANALYSIS

3. 1: Descriptive Information of Mesures

150 students from various faculties of Maltepe University participated in the research. Descriptive features such as the number of participants, means, standard deviations, minimum and maximum scores and reliability coefficients (Cronbach's Alpha) of the present study's variables were calculated (see Table 1). These variables are Maladaptive Daydreaming Scale, Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale (ASRS-v1.1), Psychological Well-being Scale (PWB) and Grade Point Avarage (GPA) for academic sucsess level.

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
GPA	135	,60	3,90	2,7454	,61178
MDS	150	1,50	9,75	4,8392	1,69610
Attention Deficit	149	1,00	8,22	2,8468	,70845

Hyperactivity/Impulsivity	150	1,00	5,00	2,6837	,60841
PWB	150	1,00	11,75	5,4817	1,01915
Valid N (listwise)	134				

Note.

GPA= Grade Point Avarage

MDS= Maladaptive Daydreaming Scale

Attention Deficit= Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale Sub-Scale (ASRS-v1.1)

Hyperactivity/Impulsivity= Adult ADHD Self-Report Scale Sub-Scale (ASRS-v1.1)

PWB= Psychological Well-being

3.2 Differences of Demographic Variables on The Measures of The Study

In this section, gender differences, and cases affected by a psychiatric diagnosis will be examined for correlations.

3.2.1Differences of Gender on Measures

To investigate the gender differences (male, female) on the measures of ASRS-v1.1, MDS-16, GPA and PWB was conducted t-test Independent Samples (see Table 2).

According to analysis there was no significant differences between gender groups on MDS-16 scores (t= -.655, p>.05). At the same time, the Attention Deficit (t= -.859, p>.05), Hyperactivity/Impulsivity (t= -.789, p>.05) and Psychological Well-being (t= -.720, t>.05) scores were not significantly different for gender groups according to data analyses.

On the other hand, grade poing avarage (t=2.985, p<.05) scores were significantly different between female (M= 2,8948) and male (M=2,5892) participants on analyses. This means female participants academic performance scores higher than male participants.

As a result, there was only a relationship between academic performance and gender grups.

Table 2. Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Equa Varia			t-test	for Equali	ity of Mear	ıs		
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2- tailed)	Mean Differen ce	Std. 95% Confidence Error Interval of the Differen Difference		l of the ence
								ce	Lower	Upper
MDS-1	Equal variances assumed	,936	,335	-,655	148	,514	-,18167	,27750	-,73005	,36672

	Equal variances not assumed			-,655	147,5 20	,514	-,18167	,27750	-,73006	,36673
Attention Deficit	Equal variances assumed	,085	,771	-,859	147	,392	-,09975	,11618	-,32935	,12986
	Equal variances not assumed			-,860	136,7 44	,391	-,09975	,11596	-,32905	,12955
Hyperactivity/ Impulsivity	Equal variances assumed	,346	,557	-,789	148	,431	-,07852	,09948	-,27510	,11806
	Equal variances not assumed			-,789	147,9 77	,431	-,07852	,09948	-,27510	,11806
PWB	Equal variances assumed	,097	,756	-,720	148	,473	-,12000	,16670	-,44941	,20941
	Equal variances not assumed			-,720	139,1 37	,473	-,12000	,16670	-,44959	,20959
GPA	Equal variances assumed	,194	,661	2,985	133	,003	,30554	,10235	,10309	,50799
	Equal variances not assumed			2,982	132,0 34	,003	,30554	,10245	,10289	,50819

3.2.2 Situation of Psychiatric Disorders on Measures

In the reports, participants were asked if there was any psychiatric disorder. The reason for this is to examine the frequency of comorbidity with research's measures. 8 participants stated that there was a psychiatric diagnosis. These are shown in the table below.

Table 3. Psychiatric Disorder Diagnosis

	Ewaguanay	Damaant	Valid	Cumulative
	Frequency	Percent	Percent	Percent
Valid	142	94,7	94,7	94,7
Borderline	1	,7	,7	95,3
Behavior Disorder	1	,7	,7	96,0
Attention Deficit	1	,7	,7	96,7
Obsesive/Compulsive Disorder	3	2,0	2,0	98,7
Panic Attack	1	,7	,7	99,3
Panic Attack/ Anxiety	1	,7	,7	100,0
Total	150	100,0	100,0	

3.3 Reliability of Measures

The scales applied, although research in Turkey in previous studies except MDS-16, validity and although the reliability testing and compliance proven, every new sample, it revealed a new social situation, the reconstruction of the reliability test, apply equally well to study the current of said scale it is of great importance to know whether or not it exists. For this reason, reliability analyzes of the scales were conducted in this section (see Table 3, Table 4, Table 5).

According to the reliability statistic findings, the MDS-16 reliability score is .619 (Cronbach'Alpha), ASRS-v1.1 reability score is .841 (Cronbach's Alpha)) and the PWB reliability score is .688 (Cronbach's Alpha). So this means that the reliability scores were quite high. The ASRS-v1.1 reliability is more higer than other measures.

Table 4. MDS-16 ReliabilityStatistis

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,619	8

Table 5. ASRS-v1.1 Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,841	16

Table 6. PWB Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
,688	18

3.4 Correlations of Measures

In this section, analysis was conducted to determine whether there is a relationship between the researcher's hypotheses (see Table 7). According to the analysis results there was no relationship between Maladaptive Daydreaming and GPA (r= -.097, p<.05). On the other hand there were pozitive relationship between maladaptive daydreaming and inattention

 $(r=.174\ p<.05)$ and significantly positive relationship between maladaptive daydreaming and hyperactivity $(r=.290,\ p<.01)$. Moreover there is negative ralationship between maladaptive daydreaming and psychological well-being $(r=-.167,\ p<.05)$. Summarize, According to Maladaptive Daydreaming scale findings, this measure only not relationship with academic performance of participants. That means, the hypothesis of reseach is not verified except other measures.

On the other hand, GPA was significant negative relationship with attention deficit (r=-.278, p=.001) and negative relationship hyperactivity (r=-.218, p<.05). Further more there was no relationship between GPA and psychological wellbeing (r=.072, p>.05). So that means, academic performanse only relationship with attention deficit and hyperactivity scores. So only two of the research hypotheses have been verified about academic performance.

As for ASRS, there was significant positive relationship between attention deficit and hyperactivity (r=.395, p<.01) subscales. So according to this sucscales, there was negative relationship between attention deficit and psychological wellbeing (r=-.200, p<.05) and there was no relationship between hyperactivity and psychological well-being (r=.141, p>.05). Summarize ASRS was only relationship with maladaptive daydreaming and academic performance.

Table 7. Correlations

		MDS-16	GPA	Attention Deficit	Hyperactivity	PWB
MDS-16	Pearson Correlation	1	-,097	,174*	,290**	-,167*
	Sig. (2-tailed)		,264	,034	,000	,041
	N	150	135	149	150	150
GPA	Pearson Correlation	-,097	1	-,278**	-,218*	,072
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,264		,001	,011	,405
	N	135	135	134	135	135
Attention Deficit	Pearson Correlation	,174*	-,278**	1	,395**	-,200*
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,034	,001		,000	,014
	N	149	134	149	149	149
Hyperactivity/ Impulsivity	Pearson Correlation	,290**	-,218*	,395**	1	-,141
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,000	,011	,000		,086
	N	150	135	149	150	150

PWB	Pearson Correlation	-,167*	,072	-,200*	-,141	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	,041	,405	,014	,086	
	N	150	135	149	150	150

^{*.} Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

As a result, GPA relationship only ASRS-v1.1 subscales and Psychological Well-being only relationship with hyperactivity/impulsivity and MDS-16. All the rest of the scales were related to each other.

CHAPTER 4

CONCLUTIONS

This study aims to determine some relations of Maladaptive Daydreaming Scale which is characterized by thought, behavioral dysfunction and distress as a clinical syndrome which has not attracted much attention in the psychology literature. So This chapter concludes this project and the implications of the findings will be discussed. Building on findings, recommendations will be made for future research correlations between Maladaptive Daydreaming , Adult Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Dİsorder , Psychological Well-being and Academic achievement.

4.1 Examination Of Maladaptive Daydreaming with Other Measures

In particular, this study tested for the first time in Turkey focusing on maladaptive daydreaming and most of the expected hypothesis was confirmed. The psychometric properties of the newer term Maladaptive Daydreaming scale have not yet been published, and no Turkish validity and reliability studies have been conducted. In addition, there is only Turkish translation. Although the reliability istatistical results of MDS, it is still not sufficient to fully interpret the scale. For this, Turkish validity and reliability studies and more extensive researches on this subject should be done.

^{**.} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Firstly maladaptive daydreaming had not found difference between gender groups in development and validation of the Maladaptive Daydreaming Scale (Somer, Lehrfeld, Bigelsen and Jopp, 2016) like this research findings. So The relationship between MDS and character features of people should be examined. Thus the relationship between girls and boys can be examined with a wider population.

Secondly, according to MDS researchs there was determined most frequent disorder is Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder as comorbidity. Therefore, the relation with Adult Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Scale (ASRS1.1) was investigated in this study to be applied in university students. First of all, worked with participants between the ages of 18 and 29 because of ASRS age limit. According to maladaptive daydreaming studies (Somer, Lehrfeld, Bigelsen and Jopp, 2016), if level of MD increases, age will be decreases. On the other hand, Critical situations for ADHD are mentioned in literature. Thus maladaptive daydreaming (especially in Turkey) and age analysis done for ADHD will make an important contribution in the psychology literature. Meanwhile, in this research there was pozitive relationship between MDS and ADHD. This demostrates that there is consistency with previous researches. Therefore, more research on maladaptive daydreaming in psychopathology is needed. Furthermore, it is suggested to investigate the common causes of ADHD and maladaptive daydreaming, as individuals will vary in age, gender, environment, living conditions, character features, and so on. In addition, those who are educated in mental health can use the findings of ADHD and MD triggering each other to develop behavioral strategies to cope with imaginative impulsivity. It was also mentioned that the ADHD-related gender differences are unclear because it changes from culture to culture (Wildenauer, 2009). There was no relationship between gender groups and ADHD in this study. This situations has shown that the expected result has not been achieved, and it is suggested that this feature be examined in a wider population.

According to Psychological Well-being results, there was negative relationship between MD and PWB. That means PWB scores will decrease when MDS scorers are increses. Positive impressions of individuals' experiences, past and future impressions, positive experiences of life, pleasure and satisfaction, goals, hopes and lived time affect the psychological well-being (Seligman ve Csikszentmihalyi, 2000). According to Mar, Mason and Litvack (2011) research, dayreaming may by a seymptom of dissatisfaction withone's life. While there is no consensus on the role of imagination in emotional well-being, imagination provides a useful way to escape from boring life. So Mar and his colleagues did

research on life satisfaction and happiness with dayreaming. According to findings, they found negative relationship between daydreaming and happiness. Therefore, the relationship between psychological well-being and MD has been in the expected direction in this research. But it is still unknown what the participants imagined. Therefore, more qualitative research should be included. Moreover, they had found difference between gender groups. So this means individual differences and content of daydreams are important to consider correlations between happiness and spontaneous thoughts. But in this research, there was no relationship MD with gender groups. On the other hand, while Kuyumcu (2012) reported that female participants had higher levels of PWB than male in research, Ryff and colleagues (1999) reported that they did not differ between gender group in their research. In this research, there was no relationship between psychological well-being ile gender groups. Considering these reasons, it is suggested that the demographic information of the participants be increased, while the cultural activity is not influenced by the living conditions of the social activity.

Ryff (1989) suggests that development continues not only in childhood and youth, but also in later life. This situation also suggested the relationship with ADHD. And according to the results of the research, there was no relation with hyperactivity / impulsivity when PWB had a relationship with attention deficit. Therefore, in future studies, character features of individuals should be investigated the relationship between attention deficit and hyperactivity.

Finally the grades of the students were taken to assess the academic achievement in this research. But there was no relationship between academic achievement and maladaptive maydreaming. This situation did not consistency with Somer' research (2002). If this is to be investigated, it is possible that 16 participants did not specify the grade average. This demostrates that this situation disturbed people. Therefore, the surveys should be taken so that people are not distributed among peer groups. Another factor is that students are in different departments and classes. In addition, gender groups only differed on GPA scores. However, there was no relationship between academic achievement and psychological well-being too. So these demographic factors should be considered in future research. Moreover a relationship between academic achievement and ADHD was expected and a negative relationship was determined. The findings demostrate that not only ADHD symptoms may be effective on university students' academic achievement level at any time. At the same time, grade average is not enough to measure academic achievement. Because there are very limited research on this subject, it is recommended that more extensive studies.

Consequently, the relationships in our study are limited in terms of evaluation and not sufficient for a clinical diagnosis. It should not be forgotten that, in the future researches, individuals should be changed from culture to culture and pathological disorders should be determined with this correlations.

In this chapter, there are assessment of the results fingings and suggestions have been made for future research. The findings of this thesis can provide an insight into future research about maladaptive daydreaming and at the same time provide the understanding of the maladaptive dayreaming conditions of mental health workers. This thesis attracts attention to this situation by the academic and health services community and at the same time creates awareness in society.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

INFORMED CONSENT

Gönüllü Katılım Formu

Değerli katılımcı,

Bu çalışma Yrd. Doç. Dr. Kuntay Arcan danışmanlığında Maltepe Üniversitesi lisans eğitimine devam etmekte olan Hilal Yılmaz tarafından yürütülen tez çalışmasıdır. Günümüzde yaygın olarak görülen bazı psikolojik rahatsızlıkların ilişkilerinin anlaşılmasına yönelik çalışma kapsamında dolduracağınız formlarda kendiniz ile ilgili konularda çeşitli değerlendirmeler yapmanız istenecektir. Bu işlem ortalama 15 dakika sürmektedir. Bu formu okuyup onaylamanız, araştırmaya katılmayı kabul ettiğiniz anlamına gelmektedir. Çalışmaya katılmak gönüllülük esasına dayanmaktadır ve uygulamayı reddetme ya da istediğiniz zaman bırakma hakkına sahipsiniz. Çalışma sadece bilimsel amaçlar için kullanılacak olup kişisel bilgileriniz gizli tutulacaktır.

Bütün soruları eksiksiz bir şekilde cevaplamanız çalışmanın gerçeği yansıtması açısından oldukça önemlidir. Çalışma sonucu ile ayrıntılı bilgi almak isterseniz <u>yilmaz.94@hotmail.com</u> adresine e-posta yoluyla sorularınızı ulaştırabilirsiniz. Çalışmaya yapmış olduğunuz katkıdan dolayı teşekkür ederim.

Yukarıdaki metni okudum ve katılmam istenen çalışmanın kapsamını ve amacını, gönüllü olarak üzerime düşen sorumlulukları anladım. Kişisel bilgilerimin özenle korunacağı konusunda güven verildi.

Bu	kos	sullarda	aras	stirmay	/a	kendi	iste	ĕimle	katı	lmavı	kabi	ul e	dive	orum.
Du	1101	Juliul au	ui ui	, ciriiia	, u	ILCIIGI	1000		ILUUI	11114 7 1	nac	u 1	GI 7 1	or will.

п .	• •		
21	ıŀ	٦.	

İmza:

APPENDIX B

DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FORM

- Cinsiyetiniz: Kadın: () Erkek: ()
- Doğum Yılı
- Bölümünüz:
- Sınıfınız:
- Tanısı konulmuş psikiyatrik bir rahatsızlığınız var mı? Var: () Yok: ()
- Varsa nedir?:
- Akademik başarı notunuz (Gano):

APPENDIX C

PSYCHOLOGICAL WELL-BEING SCALE

Aşağıda katılıp ya da katılmayacağınız 8 ifade vardır. 1-7 arasında derecelendirmeyi kullanarak her bir madde için uygun olan cevabınızı belirtiniz.

Derecelendirme:

1. Kesinlikle katılmıyorum

5. Biraz katılıyorum

2. Katılmıyorum

6. Katılıyorum

3. Biraz katılmıyorum

7. Kesinlikle katılıyorum

4. Kararsızım

1.	Amaçlı ve anlamlı bir yaşam sürdürüyorum	
2.	Sosyal ilişkilerim destekleyici ve tatmin edicidir	
3.	Günlük aktivitelerime bağlı ve ilgiliyim	
4.	Başkalarının mutlu ve iyi olmasına aktif olarak katkıda bulunurum	
5.	Benim için önemli olan etkinliklerde yetenekli ve yeterliyim	
6.	Ben iyi bir insanım ve iyi bir hayat yaşıyorum	
7.	Geleceğim hakkında iyimserim	
8.	İnsanlar bana saygı duyar	

APPENDIX D

THE 16- ITEM MALADAPTIVE DAYDREAMING SCALE (MDS-16)

Aşağıdaki soruları yanıtlarken lütfen geçen ay içerisindeki hayal kurma (gündüz düşü) yaşantılarını dikkate alınız. Başka zamana ait ise belirtiniz. Deneyimlerinize en uygun şıkkı seçiniz. Örneğin: Bazı insanlar kendilerini hayale o kadar çok kaptırırlar ki nerede olduklarını unuturlar. Hayal kurma sırasında nerede olduğunuzu unutma ne sıklıkla olmaktadır? Örnekte yanıt olarak % 20 seçilmiştir.

%0	%10	<u>%20</u>	%30	%40	%50	%60	%70	%80	%90	%100	
Hichi	r zaman									Cok sık	

1. Bazı insanlar, belirli müziklerin hayal kurmayı başlattığını fark ederler. Müzik sizin hayal kurmanızı ne ölçüde aktif hale getirmektedir?

%0	%10	%20	%30	%40	%50	%60	%70	%80	%90	%100	
Hiçbii	rzaman									Çok sık	

2. Bazı insanlar, dış dünyadaki bir olayın böldüğü hayal kurma durumuna devam etme gereksinimi duyarlar. Dış dünyadaki bir olay sizin hayal kurmanızı böldüğünde, sizin yarım kalan hayal kurma durumuna olabildiğince çabuk dönme gereksiniminiz ya da isteğiniz ne kadar güçlüdür?

%0	%10	%20	%30	%40	%50	%60	%70	%80	%90	%100
Hic vo	ok		•	•		•	•			Cok fazla

3. Hayal kurma durumunuza ne sıklıkla ses veya yüz ifadesi değişikliği eşlik eder? (örneğin gülme, konuşma veya çene çalma)?

%0	%10	%20	%30	%40	%50	%60	%70	%80	%90	%100	
Hiç de	ğil									Çok	

4. Belirli bir zaman diliminde gerçek dünyadaki sorumluluklarınız yüzünden hayal kuramadığınızda hayal kurmak için uygun bir zaman bulmayı ne kadar dert edersiniz?

%0	%10	%20	%30	%40	%50	%60	%70	%80	%90	%100	
Hiç de	eğil									Çok	

5. Bazı insanlarda hayal kurma durumu günlük iş veya görevlerine engel olur. Sizin hayal kurma durumunuz basit gündelik işleri yapma kapasitenizi ne kadar etkiler?

%0	%10	%20	%30	%40	%50	%60	%70	%80	%90	%100
Hiç er	ngellemez									Çok engeller

6. Bazı insanlar, hayal kurmaya harcadıkları zamanın miktarı konusunda endişeli veya sıkıntılı olurlar. Siz, halen hayal kurmaya harcadığınız zamanın miktarını ne kadar dert ediyorsunuz?

%0	%10	%20	%30	%40	%50	%60	%70	%80	%90	%100	
Hiç de	eğil									Çok	

7. Önemli veya zor bir şeyle karşılaştığınızı bildiğinizde dikkatinizi vermek veya o işi bitirmek için göreve odaklanmak veya hedefe ulaşmak amacıyla hayal kurmaktan uzak durmak sizin için ne kadar zordur?

%0	%10	%20	%30	%40	%50	%60	%70	%80	%90	%100	
Hiç zo	or değil									Çok	

8. Bazı insanlar, kendi hayal kurma durumlarının kendileri için en önemli şeyleri engellediğini fark etmişlerdir. Kendi hayal kurma durumunuzun sizin tümüyle yaşam amaçlarınızı başarmanıza engel olduğu hissini ne ölçüde duyuyorsunuz?

%0	%10	%20	%30	%40	%50	%60	%70	%80	%90	%100
Hiç en	gellemez									Çok engeller

9. Bazı insanlar, kendi hayal kurma eğilimlerini kontrol altına almakta veya sınırlamakta zorlanırlar. Hayal kurma eğiliminizi kontrol altında tutmak sizin için ne ölçüde zordur?

%0	%10	%20	%30	%40	%50	%60	%70	%80	%90	%100
	or değil	7020	7030	7010	7050	7000	7070	7000	7070	Çok zor
10	0. Bazı	insanlar,	dıs dün	ıyadaki	bir olay	hayal k	urmaları	nı engel	lediğind	e öfkelenirle
			,	•	•	•		_	_	lenirsiniz?
%0	%10	%20	%30	%40	%50	%60	%70	%80	%90	%100
Hiç de	egii									Çok öfkeli
11. Bazı insanlar, kendi hayal kurma eğilimlerinin akademik/mesleki veya kişi başarılarını engellediğini fark ederler. Sizin hayal kurma eğiliminiz akademik/mesle başarınızı ne ölçüde engellemektedir?										
%0	%10	%20	%30	%40	%50	%60	%70	%80	%90	%100
Hiç en	ngellemez									Çok engelle
1.	2. Bazı 1	ınsanıar.	navai k	urmavı	baska se	viere tei	rcın edei	1er. 51Z	aiger in	sanlarla yaki
%0	ilişki		veya so	syal ak		•			_	%100
	ilişki ölçüd	kurmak e tercih e	veya so edersiniz	osyal ak ?	tivitelere	katılıp	hobi ed	inmekte	nse haya	%100
Hiç	ilişki ölçüde %10 3. Sabah	kurmak e tercih e %20	veya so edersiniz %30	osyal ak ? <u>%40</u>	tivitelere	katılıp %60	%70	inmekte	mse haya	%100 Son dereced
Hiç 1. %0	ilişki ölçüde %10 3. Sabah	kurmak e tercih e %20	veya so edersiniz %30	osyal ak ? <u>%40</u>	tivitelere	katılıp %60	%70	inmekte	mse haya	%100 Son dereced kadar güçlü b %100
Ніç 1. 2%0	ilişki ölçüde %10 3. Sabah eğilim	kurmak e tercih e %20 n uyandığ n duyarsı	veya so edersiniz %30 ýmizda il miz?	osyal ak ? %40 lk olarak	%50 %sata	%60 %60 urmaya 1	%70	inmekte %80 aşlamak	%90	%100 Son derecede xadar güçlü b
Hiç 1. %0 Hiç	ilişki ölçüde %10 3. Sabah eğilim %10	kurmak e tercih e %20 n uyandığ n duyarsı %20	weya so edersiniz %30 %30 %30 %30	osyal ak? %40 lk olarak %40 urma du	%50 x hayal k %50 rumunuz	wkatılıp which was a series with the white was a series with the white was a series with the white was a series with the white was a series with the white was a series with the white was a series with the white was a series with the was a se	%70 hemen ba	inmekte %80 aşlamak %80	%90 için ne l	%100 Son derecede kadar güçlü b %100 Çok
Hiç 1. %0 Hiç	ilişki ölçüde %10 3. Sabah eğilim %10	kurmak e tercih e %20 n uyandığ n duyarsı %20 şimdiki	weya so edersiniz %30 %30 %30 %30	osyal ak? %40 lk olarak %40 urma du	%50 x hayal k %50 rumunuz	wkatılıp which was a series with the way and was a series with th	%70 hemen ba	inmekte %80 aşlamak %80	%90 için ne l	%100 Son derecede kadar güçlü b %100 Çok
Hiç 1. %0 Hiç 1.4	ilişki ölçüde %10 3. Sabah eğilim %10 4. Sizin gibi fi	kurmak e tercih e %20 n uyandığ n duyarsı %20 şimdiki iziksel ak	veya so edersiniz %30 ģinizda il niz? %30 hayal ku	osyal ak? %40 lk olarak %40 urma du ne sikli	%50 x hayal k %50 rumunuz kla eşlik	wkatılıp %60 wrmaya l %60 a, yürür etmekte	hobi ed %70 hemen be %70 me, ayak dir?	inmekte %80 aşlamak %80	%90 için ne k	%100 Son derecede kadar güçlü b %100 Çok erinizi sallan
%0 Hiç 14 %0 Hiç	ilişki ölçüde %10 3. Sabah eğilim %10 4. Sizin gibi fi %10	kurmak e tercih e %20 n uyandığ n duyarsı %20 şimdiki iziksel ak	weya so edersiniz %30 %30 %30 hayal ku ctiviteler %30 hayal k	osyal ak? %40 lk olarak %40 urma du ne sikli %40	%50 k hayal k %50 rumunuz kla eşlik %50 severler.	wkatılıp %60 wrmaya l %60 a, yürür etmekte %60	hobi ed %70 hemen be %70 me, ayak dir? %70	inmekte //80 aşlamak //80 larınızı //80	%90 için ne k %90 veya elle	Son derecede kadar güçlü b %100 Çok erinizi sallan %100
Hiç 1. %0 Hiç 1.4 %0 Hiç	ilişki ölçüde %10 3. Sabah eğilim %10 4. Sizin gibi fi %10	kurmak e tercih e %20 n uyandığ n duyarsı %20 şimdiki iziksel ak %20 insanlar,	weya so edersiniz %30 %30 %30 hayal ku ctiviteler %30 hayal k	osyal ak? %40 lk olarak %40 urma du ne sikli %40	%50 k hayal k %50 rumunuz kla eşlik %50 severler.	wkatılıp %60 wrmaya l %60 a, yürür etmekte %60	hobi ed %70 hemen be %70 me, ayak dir? %70	inmekte //80 aşlamak //80 larınızı //80	%90 için ne k %90 veya elle	%100 Son derecede kadar güçlü b %100 Çok erinizi sallan %100 Çok sık

16. Bazı insanlar için müzik dinlemedikleri zaman hayal kurma durumlarını sürdürmek zordur. Sizin hayal kurmanız müzik dinlemenin eşlik etmesine ne ölçüde bağlıdır?

%0	%10	%20	%30	%40	%50	%60	%70	%80	%90	%100
Hiç ba	Hiç bağlı değildir							Çok bağlıdır		

APPENDIX E

ADULT ATTENTION DEFICIT HYPERACTIVTY SCALE (ASRS-V1.1)

Sayfanın sağında gösterilen açıklamalara göre, kendinizi değerlendirip aşağıdaki soruları yanıtlayınız. Soruları yanıtlarken 6 ay içinde nasıl hissettiğiniz ve nasıl davrandığınız konusunda sizi en iyi tanımlayan kutuya (X) işareti koyunuz.

		Asla	Nadi-ren	Bazen	Sık	Çok sık
1.	Üzerinde çalıştığınız bir işin/projenin son ayrıntılarını toparlayıp projeyi tamamlamakta sorun yaşar mısınız?					
2.	Organizasyon gerektiren bir iş yapmanız zorunlu olduğunda işlerinizi sıraya koymakta ne sıklıkta sorun yaşarsınız?					
3.	Yükümlülüklerinizi ve randevularınızı hatırlamakta ne sıklıkta sorun yaşarsınız?					
4.	Çok fazla düşünmeyi ve konsantrasyonu gerektiren bir iş yapmanız gerekiyorsa ne sıklıkta başlamaktan kaçınır ya da geciktirirsiniz?					
5.	Uzun bir süre oturmanız gerektiğinde, ne sıklıkta huzursuzlaşır, kıpırdanır ya da el ve ayaklarınızı kıpırdatırsınız?					
6.	Ne sıklıkta kendinizi aşırı aktif ve sanki motor takılmış gibi bir şeyler yapmak zorunda hissedersiniz?					
7.	Sıkıcı veya zor bir proje üzerinde çalışmanız gerektiğinde, ne sıklıkla dikkatsizce hatalar yaparsınız?					
8.	Monoton veya tekrarlayıcı bir iş yaparken ne sıklıkla dikkatinizi sürdürmekte güçlük çekersiniz?					

9. Doğrudan sizinle konuşuyor bile olsalar, insanların size söylediklerine yoğunlaşmakta ne sıklıkla güçlük yaşarsınız?			
10. Evde veya işte eşyaları bulmakta veya nereye koyduğunuzu			
hatırlamakta ne sıklıkta güçlük yaşarsınız?			
11. Etrafınızdaki hareketlilik ve gürültü ne sıklıkta dikkatinizi			
dağıtır?			
12. Orada oturmanız beklendiğinde, bir toplantı veya benzer			
durumda ne sıklıkla yerinizden kalkarsınız?			
13. Ne sıklıkla kendinizi huzursuz, kıpır kıpır hissedersiniz?			
14. Kendinize ait boş zamanınız olduğunda ne sıklıkla			
gevşemekte ve rahatlamakta güçlük çekersiniz?			
15. Sosyal ortamlarda bulunduğunuzda, ne sıklıkta kendinizi			
çok konuşurken yakalarsınız?			
16. Bir sohbet ya da görüşmede, ne sıklıkla karşınızdaki kişi			
cümlesini bitirmeden onun cümlesini bitirdiğinizi fark			
edersiniz?			
17. Sıraya girmek gerektiğinde, ne sıklıkta sıranızın gelmesini			
beklemekte güçlük çekersiniz?			
18. Başka bir işle meşgul olduklarında diğer insanları araya			
girip engeller misiniz?			

REFERENCES

- Adler, L., & Cohen, J. (2004). Diagnosis and evaluation of adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 27, 187-199.
- American Psychiatric Association (Ed.). (2013). Diognastic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth Edition.
- Axelrod, V., Rees, G., Lavidor, M., & Bar, M. (2015). Increasing propensity to mind-wander with transcranial direct current stimulation. *PNAS Direct Submission*, *112*(11), 3314-3319.
- Anastopoulos, A.D., Barkley, R.A., & Shelton, T.L. (1994). The history and diagnosis of attention-deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. *Therapeutic Care and Education* (now called *Emotional and Behavioural Difficulties*), 3(2), 96-110).
- Barbaresi, W., Colligan, R., Weaver, A., Voigt, R., Killian, J., & Katusic, S. (2013). Mortality, ADHD, and Psychosocial Adversity in Adults With Childhood ADHD: A Prospective Study. *American Academy of Pediatrics*, 131, 637-643.
- Barkley, R. A. (2010). Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder in Adults. *Joness and Bartlett Publishers*.1-7.

- Barth, F. (1997). Using Daydreams in Psychdynamic Psychotherapy. *Clinical Social Work Journal*, 25(3), 265-266
- Bernstein, E., & Putnam, F. (1986). Development, Reliability, and Validity of a Dissociation Scale. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 174(12), 727-732.
- Bigelsen, J., Lehrfeld, J. M., Jopp, D. S., & Somer, E. (2016, March 24). Maladaptive Daydreaming: Evidence for an under-researched. *Consciousness and Cognition 42*, 254-265.
- Bilaç, O., & Ozaslan, T. U. (2015). Dikkat Eksikliği Hiperaktivite Bozukluğu Epidemiyolojisi. *Turkiye Klinikleri J Child Psychiatry*, 1(1), 1-5.
- Butler, L. (2004). The Dissociations of Everyday Life. *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, 5(2), 1-9.
- Butler, L. D. (2006). Normative dissociation. *Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 29, 45-59.
- Çeçen, R. (2002). Duyguları Yönetme Becerileri Eğitimi Programının Öğretmen Adaylarının Duyguları Yönetme Becerileri Üzerindeki Etkisi., Yayımlanmamış Doktora Tezi. Adana: Çukurova Üniversitesi, Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü.
- Davison, G., Kring, A., Johnson, S., & Neale, J. (2013). *Abnormal Psychology*, 12 Edition. John Wiley & Sons. 399-405.
- Diener, E., Scollon, C. N., & (2009). The evolcing concept of subjective well-being: The multifaced nature of happiness. *Social Indicators Research Series*, 39, 67-100
- Doğan, S., Varol-Saraçoğlu, G., Öncü, B., & Küçükgöncü, S. (2009). Erişkin Dikkat Eksikliği Hiperaktivite Bozukluğu Kendi Bildirim Ölçeği (ASRS-v1.1): Türkçe formunun geçerlilik ve güvenilirliği. *Anadolu Psikiyatri Dergisi*, 10, 77-85.
- Douglas, V. (1972). Stop, look and listen: The problem of sustained attention and impulse control in hyperactive and normal children. *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science*/ Revue canadienne des sciences du comportement, 4(4), 259-279.
- Ercan, E. S., Bilaç, Ö., Özaslan, T. U., & Ardiç, Ü. A. (2015). Prevalence of Psychiatric Disorders Among Turkish Children: The Effects of Impairment and Sociodemographic Correlates. *Child Psychiatry Human Development, 47*(1), 35-42.

- Faraone, S., Sergeant, J., Gillberg, C., & Biederman, J. (2003). The worldwide prevalence of ADHD: is it an American condition? *World Psychiatry*, 2(2), 104-110.
- Ford, T., Goodman, R., & Meltzer, H. (2003). The British Child and Adolescent Mental Health Survey 1999: The Prevalence of DSM-IV Disorders. *Child Adolescent Psychiatry*, 42(10), 1203-1210.
- Keyes. C. L., Smotkin, D. ve Ryff, D.A. (2002). Optimizing well-being: The empirical encounter of two traditions. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 82 (6), 1007-1022.
- Klein, R., Manuzza, S., Ramos Olagasti, M., Roizen, E., Hutchison, J., Lashua, E., et al. (2012). Clinical and Functional Outcome of Childhood Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder 33 Years Later. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 69(12), 1295-3002.
- Klinger, E. (2009). Daydreaming and fantasizing: Thought flow and motivation. In K. D. Markman, W. M. P. Klein, & J. A. Suhr (Eds.), *Handbook of imagination and mental simulation*, 225-235.
- Mahone, E., Bridges, D., Prahme, C., & Singer, H. S. (2004). Repetitive Arm and Hand Movements (Complex Motor Stereotypies) in children. *Division of Pediatric Neurology*, 145, 391-395.
- Manuzza, S., Klein, R., Bessler, A., Malloy, P., & LaPadula, M. (1993). Adult Outcome of Hyperactive Boys. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 50, 565-575.
- Mar, R. A., Mason, M. F., & Litvack, A. (2011). How Daydreaming Relates to Life Satisfaction, Loneliness, and Social Support: The İmportance of gender and daydream content. Short Communication. *Consciousness and Cognition* 21, 401-406.
- Oyman, Y. C., (2017). Psikolojik İyi Oluş İle İş Doyumu Arasındaki İlişkinin İncelenmesi., Yüksek Lisans Tezi. İstanbul: Haliç Üniversitesi, Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü.
- Pliszka, S. (2007). Practice Parameter for the Assessment and Treatment of Children and Adolescents With Attention-Deficit/ Hyperactivity Disorder. *J. Am. Acad. Child*, 46(7), 894-916.

- Robinson, S., Woods, M., Cardona, F., Baglioni, V., & Hedderly, T. (2014). Intense imagery movements: a common and distinct paediatric subgroup of motor stereotypies. Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology, 56, 1212-1217.
- Schupak, C., & Rosenthal, J. (2009). Excessive daydreaming: A case history and discussion of mind wandering and high fantasy proneness. *Consciousness and Cognition An International Journal*, 18(1), 290–292.
- Somer, E. (2002). Maladaptive daydreaming: A qualitative inquiry. *Journal of Contemporary Psychotherapy*, 32, 197–210.
- Somer, E., Lehrfeld, J., Bigelsen, J., & Jopp, D. (2015). Development and validation of the Maladaptive Daydreaming Scale (MDS). *Consciousness and Cognition* 39, 77-90.
- Somer, E., Somer, L., & Jopp, D. (2016). Parallel lives: A phenomenological study of the lived experience of maladaptive daydreaming. *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, 17(5), 561-573.
- Somer, E., Soffer-Dudek, N., & Ross, C. A. (2017). The Comorbidity of Daydreaming Disorder (Maladaptive Daydreaming). *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 205. 525-529.
- Sparks, R. L., Javorsky, J., & Philips, L. (2004). College students classified with ADHD and the foreign language requirement. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 37(2), 169-178.
- Springer, K. W., & Hauser, R. M. (2006). An assessment of the construct validity of Ryff's scales of psychological well-being: Method, mode, and measurement effects. *Social Science Research*, 35, 1080–1102
- Thapar, A., Cooper, M., Eyre, O., & Langley, K. (2013). Practitioner Review: What have we learnt about the causes of ADHD? *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 54(1), 3-13.
- Telef, B. B. (2013). Psikolojik İyi Oluş Ölçeği (PİOO): Türkçeye Uyarlama, Geçerlik ve Güvenirlik Çalışması. *Hacettepe Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 28(3), 374-384.
- Wildenauer, D. (2009). Molecular Biology of Neuropsychiatric Disorders (Cilt 23). *Nucleic Acids and Molecular Biology*, 23. 100-138.

- Zalsman, G., & Shilton, T. (2016). Adult ADHD: A new disease? *International Journal of Psychiatry in Clinical Practice*, 20, 70-76.
- Zelin, M.L., Bernstein, S.B., Heijn, C., Jampel, R. M., Myerson, P.P., Adler, G., ET AL. (2010). The Sustaining Fantasy Qustionnaire: Measurement of Sustaining Functions of Fantasies in Psychiatric Inpatients. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 47(4), 427439.