This chapter explores the interrelationship between addiction to narcotics and dissociation. The focal premise is that drug use disorder, particularly Opioid Use Disorder (OUD), is a condition driven by the need to chemically induce a state that mimics psychogenic dissociation. In other words, some individuals suffering from OUD are motivated to chemically induce a dissociative state to defend themselves against the memories of childhood abuse and the related pain experience. Consequently, I call this chemical dissociation.

For the purposes of this chapter, dissociation is assumed to be a psychological defense mechanism developed in the face of extreme, or prolonged and inescapable, physical, sexual, and emotional traumata. It works as a shield against the conscious experience of overwhelming stress by producing psychological and/or physical analgesia, emotional calming, and a breakdown of the normally integrated experiential components of behavior, affect, sensation, knowledge, and identity. The main thesis in this essay is that for many individuals suffering from OUD, chemical dissociation serves similar purposes by inducing powerful tranquilizing and numbing effects.

It is posited that in a certain subgroup of people, OUD constitutes the second stage of a strategy developed for coping with intolerable experiences and their memories. When psychological dissociation is ineffective, or when substances that are able to produce rapid and efficient relief are available, some traumatized persons look beyond their own mental resources for relief. When these victims get access to consciousness-altering substances, they discover the immediate advantages of chemical dissociation, and its rapid effect on both the body and the mind. This is when chemical dissociation can become a self-medicating alternative. In such persons, the first-stage psychogenic dissociation may manifest itself in the usual way, but it is more often masked by second-stage chemical dissociation in the intoxicated state.

To substantiate this thesis I present data on common etiological roots of posttraumatic stress, dissociative disorders, and OUD; common neurobiological mechanisms of these disorders; their comorbidity, and their similarities in the dimension of subjective experience (phenomenology).

### 33.1 COMMON ETIOLOGICAL ROOTS

People with a childhood trauma history constitute a significant proportion of opioid users (Van Hasselt, Ammerman, Glancy, & Bukstein, 1992). An overview of several epidemiological studies of comorbid posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and substance use disorders (SUD) reveals that the odds of drug use disorders are three times greater in individuals with PTSD than in those without it (Chilcoat & Menard, 2003). Gianconia et al. (2000), for example, reported that the data for comorbid cases of SUD and PTSD in which PTSD was the primary disorder was 66.7%. Four predominant hypotheses...
have been proposed to explain the consistent findings around PTSD-SUD comorbidity:

1. High-risk hypothesis: Drug use is a high-risk behavior that increases the risk for trauma exposure (Brown & Wolfe, 1994)
2. Susceptibility hypothesis: Drug users are more susceptible to PTSD following exposure to threatening events (Brown & Wolfe, 1994)
3. No direct relationship hypothesis: The association appears because both conditions derive from a third common factor (e.g., genetics)
4. Self-medication hypothesis: Individuals with PTSD use substances to control their emotional pain (Khartzian, 1985)

Using reports about the date of onset of the disorder, Kessler et al. (1995) estimated that among comorbid PTSD-SUD subjects, PTSD occurred first in 53% to 65% of the men, and in 65% to 84% of the women, arguing that PTSD generally predates SUD in those who experience both disorders. Based on their prospective study, Chilcoat and Menard (2003) concluded that preexisting SUD does not significantly increase the risk for PTSD among adults relative to those without SUD. On the other hand, adults with a history of PTSD had a fourfold increase in the risk of SUD compared with those without PTSD. Hypotheses 1 to 3 do not predict such findings, while hypothesis 4 does, lending relative support for the self-medication hypothesis. Further evidence for a possible casual relationship between PTSD and SUD has been provided by Stewart, Conrod, Pihn, and Dongier (1999). They show that the severity of alcohol disorder symptoms correlates with the severity of PTSD arousal symptoms and that the severity of anxiolytic and analgesic dependence correlates with the severity of PTSD numbing and arousal symptoms. They also show how analgesic dependence severity correlates with PTSD intrusion symptoms. Other researchers (Liebshutz et al., 2002; Malinkovsky-Rummel & Hansen, 1993) have demonstrated that adult SUD is the most prominent consequence of childhood abuse.

The prominence of childhood trauma in the lives of recovering OUD patients has been demonstrated in three separate studies conducted in Israel. Data consistently showed higher traumatization history scores (typically reflecting childhood abuse and neglect) among patients recovering from OUD compared to those measured in consecutive admissions to outpatient stress clinics (Somer, 2004; Somer, Soref, & Lawental, 2004; Somer & Avni, 2003).

In sum, trauma history, particularly childhood trauma, would seem to be an etiological factor in both dissociative disorders and OUD. Significant statistical relationships exist among trauma history, severity of PTSD symptoms, and SUD, especially the use of tranquilizing drugs.

### 33.2 TRAUMA AND THE NEUROBIOLOGICAL BASIS FOR OUD

How does trauma influence the biochemistry of the brain? Is there a possible connection between posttraumatic neurochemical changes in the brain and the proclivity to self-medicate with opiates? In the locus ceruleus, hippocampus, amygdala, and anterior hypothalamus, the areas of the brain most concerned with emotions and stress, there is a high density of both norepinephrine and opioid receptors (Langer, 1978). People with PTSD responding to cues associated with noxious stimuli trigger the firing of the locus ceruleus, releasing abnormal levels of key hormones involved in response to stress, such as norepinephrine (NE) (Cohen & Servan-Schreiber, 1992). Van der Kolk, Greenberg, Boyd et al. (1985) suggested that physiological aspects of both opiate withdrawal and PTSD are related to central noradrenergic hyperactivity associated with a relative decrease in opioid receptor binding; such endogenous opioid release may result in a temporary sense of control. When people are in danger, they produce high levels of natural opioids, which can also temporarily mask physical pain. Scientists have found that people with PTSD continue to produce much higher levels even after the danger has passed; this may be associated with the blunted emotions associated with the condition (Yehuda, 1998). The relationship between peri- and posttraumatic secretion of natural opioids and dissociation has not yet been explored, but the role of dissociation in shielding individuals from the full impact of trauma has been discussed extensively in the literature (e.g., Chu & Dill, 1990; Irwin, 1994; Herman, Perry, & Van der Kolk, 1989; Putnam, 1995).

The animal model of inescapable shock parallels the equivalent of learned helplessness in humans and could shed further light on neurochemical mechanisms likely to be operating. Animals exposed to inescapable shock develop analgesia when exposed to another stressor shortly thereafter; this response is mediated by endogenous opioids and is reversed by naloxone (Van der Kolk & Greenberg, 1987). Subsequent studies suggest that serious physical threats may induce analgesia and numbness in human victims as well (Cardena et al., 1998; Cardena & Spiegel, 1993) and that anesthesia-analgesia were the symptoms that best predicted cases of posttraumatic dissociative
disorders (Nijenhuis, Spinhoven, Vanderlinden et al., 1998). In animal models of PTSD, numbness is likened to a conditioned analgesia in response to inescapable shock (Foa, Zinbarg, & Rothbaum, 1992; Pitman, Van der Kolk, Orr, & Greenberg, 1990). Schiele (1992) even argued that chronic stress induces a physiological state that resembles dependency on high levels of exogenous opiates; and that when severely stressed, subsequent fear and the accompanying endogenous opioid secretion attenuates the perceived intensity of subsequent shocks.

All told, preliminary evidence shows that traumatized people produce elevated levels of natural opioids, which can temporarily mask emotional and physical pain. It is, therefore, conceivable that traumatized individuals would find the effect of exogenous opiates to be a gratifying shield between posttraumatic torment and conscious awareness.

### 33.3 COMORBIDITY OF DISSOCIATIVE DISORDERS AND OUD

If OUD and dissociative disorders have common etiological roots and if opioids (either endogenous or exogenous) are a natural recourse in the face of traumatic duress, it is only natural that OUD and dissociative disorders would co-occur. Most of the literature on dissociative disorders (DD), trauma, and addictions reveal a probabilistic concurrency of the phenomena. Ross, Kronson, Koenen et al. (1992) reported that individuals who abuse alcohol and drugs have four times the incidence of child sexual abuse and three times the incidence of DD compared to nonclinical controls. In a survey of 185 individuals with severe dissociative disorders, 57% had problems with drug abuse (Rivera, 1991). Dunn, Paolo, Ryan, and Van Fleet (1995) showed that 41.5% of chemically dependent veterans received an average DES score of 15 or higher (DES range 0–100), which is considered to be rather elevated. In comparison, Israeli patients with DD scored 29.45 on the DES (Somer, Dolgin, & Saadon, 2001). The average total score on the Hebrew-Dissociative Experiences Scale (H-DES) for detoxified heroin users was 21.27 (SD = 15.02) (Somer & Avni, 2003). In comparison, Somer, Dolgin, and Saadon (2001) reported mean H-DES scores for two relevant groups as follows: Post-traumatic Stress Disorder and Acute Stress Disorder: 20.36; Dissociative Disorders: 29.45.

A newly developed tool for the assessment of pathological dissociation, the Hebrew version of the Multidimensional Inventory of Dissociation (H-MID; Somer & Dell, 2005) has a range of 0 to 100, with scores of 21 or higher indicative of possible dissociative psychopathology. A study was conducted in Israel comparing a recovering heroin users group, to respondents sampled from stress and trauma clinics. One in three of the ex-heroin users scored 21 or higher on the H-MID, compared to only one in 10 for the stress and trauma clinic controls (Somer, Soref, & Lawental, 2004). The same study similarly indicated that ex-heroin users showed significantly higher scores on posttraumatic measures of avoidance, intrusion, and hyperarousal than the stress and trauma clinic controls. The same ex-heroin users sample was also statistically more disturbed than stress and trauma clinic controls on the following H-MID clinical scales: memory problems, flashbacks, somatoform dissociation, identity confusion, ego-alien experiences, self-alteration, self-states and alters, and Schneiderian first-rank symptoms.

To recapitulate, a history of childhood trauma and dissociative psychopathology seem to be risk factors for OUD. The insufficiency of psychogenic dissociation in the protection of the conscious mind could be a pathway to OUD. Deficiencies in dissociative defenses may be the consequence of great posttraumatic suffering that cannot be effectively contained, or of meager dissociative capacities. Thus, we hypothesize that some survivors of child abuse self-medicate with opiates to mimic chemicals endogenously released to attenuate traumatic distress. This hypothesis predicts that individuals with OUD would actually seek out and go through dissociative experiences during opiate use, a testable prediction.

### 33.4 SIMILAR PHENOMENOLOGY

The similarity between chemically induced and psychologically induced symptoms of dissociation required special underscoring of the disclaimers to the instructions on scales measuring dissociation, which ask respondents to endorse items only if the described experiences did NOT occur under the influence of alcohol or drugs (e.g., DES: Carlson & Putnam, 1993; MID: Dell, 2006; H-MID: Somer & Dell, 2005).

To study the meaning and function of opioid use among a group of Israelis recovering from a heroin use disorder, we conducted five focus groups comprised of 10 to 15 participants each. In total, we met 66 consenting persons receiving outpatient treatment in private and government drug rehabilitation clinics. These individuals had abused alcohol, cannabis, amphetamines, and opiates, but for 60 (91%) participants, heroin was the preferred drug. Fifty-nine participants (89%) had a history of child
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1 The author wishes to thank Ronit Avni, MSW, for contributing the idea for this study.
abuse. I asked them to describe their experience with the drugs. Specifically, I asked them to tell me what effects and sensations of the drugs had been most meaningful or important to them. In other words, what functions had the drugs fulfilled? What role had they fulfilled? Their discussions were audiotaped and transcribed verbatim. Analysis of the text was conducted in two main steps. In the first phase, I read the transcribed discussions of the five groups and systematically coded and sorted the material into key themes by means of cross-case analyses with a constant-comparison method. Core themes were identified and compared, and analytical categories were later illustrated/exemplified by specific quotations (Krueger, 1994).

Four main themes emerged from the focus groups in regard to their experience with drugs: (1) chemical amnesia, (2) chemical suppression of posttraumatic arousal symptoms, (3) chemical numbing, depersonalization, and derealization, and (4) soothing, gratifying pleasure. The themes will be presented with illustrative, representative quotes.

33.4.1 CHEMICAL AMNESIA

One recovering heroin user described how the substance has helped him not to remember current and past feelings and thoughts:

Heroin helps me forget the pain in my life. Soon after the injection I feel I can stop thinking about the troubles … the horrible memories … without heroin I couldn’t leave home. I was simply too overwhelmed.

He had experienced the drug as a means of distancing himself from incapacitating memories and of reducing conscious awareness of current troubling issues.

Here is how another recovering user described the amnestic function of her drug habit:

When I am high I don’t care about the horrors of my childhood. I manage to ignore my fears as if they had never been there. I can’t remember my nightmares … geez, I hate those nightmares … they keep haunting me for years. Only when I’m on heroin I get not to think about them … It is as if I never dreamt them in the first place … and my daily problems with bills and my family become so small I could hardly care about them. They just fade away.

This individual uses the substance to induce a memory disorder that shields her against chronic nightmares concerning childhood traumata. It seems that she also finds the amnestic agent useful in helping her forget about current life problems and challenges. A habit that was conceivably developed to deal with posttraumatic distress has evolved into an apparently maladaptive means to cope with daily stressors.

33.4.2 CHEMICAL SUPPRESSION OF POSTTRAUMATIC AROUSAL SYMPTOMS

Several respondents described heroin as their preferred calming agent and as an effective course toward controlling a variety of posttraumatic symptoms, representing both sympathetic and affective arousal. Here is what one 35-year-old participant, a survivor of child abuse and a convicted wife beater, said when he talked about the role that heroin played in the management of his explosive anger:

I have always been a very angry person. Often I can’t control my temper. I feel that the anger consumes me…. I feel like I want to get even. It’s like I constantly want to beat the s**t out of someone. Anyone. I have so much hate in me, it consumes me. After I shoot up, the anger doesn’t bother me anymore. It’s like I disconnect it. I turn it off. Maybe it is still there, but I am elsewhere, unbothered by it.

This man describes lifelong problems with violent anger. He portrays heroin use as a process of self-medication. The burning and distressful drive for violence (“It consumes me”) only subsides following the use of narcotics. This man eloquently portrays a dissociative experience when he acknowledges the existence of the dissociated anger during the consciousness-altered state.

A 28-year-old woman, an abandoned child that lived her entire childhood in foster homes, portrayed her heroin intoxication in terms of its role in controlling fears, anxieties, and an overall tense condition:

I suffer from many fears. Men scare me, I can’t trust women, and children make me feel uneasy, I hate the night, I can’t stand crowds, and I dread doctors and dentists. I am constantly on edge. On guard. As soon as I get high I, umm … nothing bothers me anymore. I can calm down and it’s like I am able to deal with many of those fears much better … sometimes I also get a feeling that nothing scares me anymore. It’s such a relief to be able to unwind from all my stresses and get a real break from my tensions … it sure beats sleep.

This woman describes many fears triggered by others. In a world crowded by human beings her fears seem to be constantly triggered. Simple interactions, even with
in providing sexual services to her customers.

Chemical depersonalization and derealization help her tolerate the unsavory experiences involved in her sex trade profession. Chemical depersonalization is a phenomenon where an individual perceives their body and thoughts as not belonging to them. This can be a result of the effects of drugs, such as heroin, which can cause a disconnecting effect. Depersonalization is the feeling of being detached from one’s own thoughts and sensations. Derealization is the feeling of detachment from one’s surroundings. These experiences can be quite distressing and can be present in those who use heroin.

Most comments on the experience sought in or felt during heroin use were also characteristic of classical dissociative experiences. One 50-year-old recovering user, an incest survivor, described how a variety of somatic problems had been temporarily eased by the drug use:

I always walk around with a splitting headache. No pain medication has ever helped me. I also have this other problem, it is as if I have extensive burn wounds, my skin is sore, I can’t be touched…. I think I might be arthritic, too. I have been roughed up pretty badly throughout my life. I think that my joints are a total mess…. Heroin lets me not feel these pains and aches. For a few hours I am fairly well. I can rest.

Heroin is described here as a preferred analgesic and as an effective depressing agent for her conflicted sexual drive. Several participants talked about depersonalizing and derealizing effects that they derived from heroin use. Here is what a 37-year-old former prostitute had to say:

I can disregard my emotions when I am on the drug. I couldn’t care less about myself because I hardly felt myself. It is pretty awful to be myself you know…. I’d rather not feel the stuff that makes me feel so bad, the sadness and the emptiness, those endless internal quarrels. This white stuff helps me to not feel my depression, I can ignore all the threats and the dangers in this f**king world they don’t even seem real anymore, I couldn’t give a s**t about nothing. This world is full of all sorts of creeps who always want to f**k you or hurt you. When I was on the drug, they could take my body and do what they f**king pleased with it. I wasn’t home.

This individual convincingly tells the interviewer how she yearned to distance herself from her emotional pain by the disconnecting effect of the opiate. Ironically, this survivor of child abuse is being continuously revictimized in her sex trade profession. Chemical depersonalization helps her tolerate the unsavory experiences involved in providing sexual services to her customers.

33.4.4 SOOTHING, GRATIFYING PLEASURE

Heroin did not only help our respondents in numbing their pain and in distorting them from their painful memories, but also helped generate pleasurable feelings that were ordinarily so scarce in their lives. Here is what a 40-year-old man who grew up in an extremely neglectful and emotionally abusive family said:

I always feel cold. I am cold from the inside … I feel so empty. When I shoot up, I have this great rush of warmth building up at the pit of my stomach. It radiates inside as if I have an internal fireplace. It’s so comforting.

His description of the appealing warmth of the drug can only be appreciated when contrasted with the portrayed coldness inside him. This same man also talked about how he had yearned to be hugged and comforted by his mother whom he also depicted as a “cold bitch.”

It seemed that some participants had anthropomorphized the heroin and had perceived it as having benevolent traits. One recovering heroin user shared the following observations about his unresolved craving for the substance:

Nothing beats the feeling I got from heroin … just found this good stuff irresistible … like a gentle blanket that was lovingly wrapped around my shoulders it covered my entire body, it calmed me down, sometimes it glowed from me within.

There was something sweet about the seduction of the stuff. I am not sure I can describe it to you but imagine being caressed from inside.

This man described the substance as a “loving” protective entity that is capable of radiating soothing warmth. His account of the opiate also portrays a “being” that is quite sensual, almost erotic.

The results of this qualitative inquiry render credible preliminary support to the idea that some survivors of childhood abuse or neglect utilize heroin defensively as a dissociating agent, specifically seeking the numbing, depersonalizing, and amnestic capacities of the drug. Moreover, a few survivors that were recovering from their addiction assigned benevolent nurturing human qualities to the drug. This was a sad testimonial to the emotional emaciation of these individuals.

Preliminary controlled research provided some quantitative support for these qualitative findings. Somer and Avni (2003) demonstrated that respondents with higher trauma scores were more likely to report dissociative experiences during their drug use (chemical dissociation).
That is to say, traumatized users tended to experience heroin as producing more dissociative experiences than users with a milder trauma history. Specifically, these drug-free high dissociators were more likely to report, retrospectively, depersonalizing and derealizing experiences when they had been using drugs. Furthermore, stronger dissociative experiences during drug use were found to be related to greater psychological distress during interuse craving (non withdrawal-related). This datum demonstrates the possible shielding function of the narcotic for users who are survivors of child abuse. For respondents who tended to experience higher levels of chemical dissociation during heroin use, being off the drug was more distressful than for users who had experienced lower levels of chemically induced dissociation. Interestingly, a statistical relationship was also discovered between retrospectively reported psychological distress, experienced during interuse craving, and current psychological dissociation, which was measured in a detoxified state. This may imply that when these individuals attempt to relinquish their chemical dissociation by detoxification, they may experience an increase in distress that is predictive of their likelihood to employ psychological dissociation later on during their recovery. That is, the two dissociative mechanisms, exogenous and endogenous, are more likely to be measured in survivors of childhood trauma and they are interchangeable. If specific treatment for posttraumatic dissociation is not provided, detoxifying survivors may tend to substitute one type of dissociative defense with another.

The employment of psychological dissociation by survivors of child abuse who are recuperating from OUD may compromise the stability of their recovery. Dissociation has been found to be an independent predictor of abstinence from heroin use (Somer, 2003). The two variables appear to be inversely related: recovering heroin-dependent persons who were high dissociators are less likely to enjoy favorable treatment outcomes as reflected in extended periods of abstinence. Dissociative psychopathology may be conducive to relapse by compromising the awareness of rehabilitating opiate users to high-risk situations. It may also impair the quality of control over their behavior. Alternatively, and as posited earlier, persons who had only recently become abstinent could be more likely to compensate for the missing chemical dissociation by evoking their psychogenic trauma-related dissociative defenses.

Further support to the interchangeability of psychogenic and chemical dissociation in the lives of recovering opiate users was provided by Somer, Soref, and Lawental (2004). The investigators compared dissociative psychopathology across three groups of recovering heroin users: (1) individuals on an agonist treatment; these respondents received Methadone, a synthetic, less addictive form of heroin, to keep them from uncontrolled use of street drugs; (2) individuals in early full remission who were treated in a controlled environment (inpatient detoxification and rehabilitation) and; (3) people in early full remission who were drug-free for more than 6 months. The first two groups had enjoyed some form of support and containment, either through the ingestion of heroin-mimicking substances prescribed by the clinics that were treating them or through the professional boundaries and support that were provided in the total environment of the detoxifying agency. The third group had to rely more profoundly on their own internally developed coping mechanisms. Compared to the first two research groups, these respondents reported the highest levels of psychoticism, hostility, anxiety (both general and phobic), posttraumatic intrusions, avoidance, and hyperarousal. They also scored highest on the following clinical scales of the H-MID: memory problems, flashbacks, somatoform dissociation, identity confusion, ego-alien experiences, self-alteration, self-states and alters, and Schneiderian first-rank symptoms, revealing significant dissociative psychopathology. Given that the three groups did not differ significantly on biographic indices, length of treatment, severity of drug use disorder, or trauma history, I conclude that the elevated general, posttraumatic and dissociative psychopathology was best accounted for by the want of critical sustaining factors: the strong boundaries of a treatment milieu or (synthetic) chemical dissociation.

This dearth of external coping resources probably played a significant part in the exacerbation of their preexisting dissociative and related psychopathology.

The implications of the material presented in this chapter are important for the design of effective clinical practice with OUD patients. It is sensible to screen candidates for substance abuse treatment for posttraumatic and dissociative pathology. Before clinicians encourage recovering traumatized patients with drug use disorders to give up their chemical dissociation, they should vigorously address their underlying posttraumatic problems. Clearly, without a thorough resolution of trauma-related dissociation, a timely solution for the underlying psychopathology and the successful treatment outcome are less likely.

Part of the data presented in this essay are a result of a study supported by the Israel Anti-Drug Authority.
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