The role of impulsivity in the association between childhood trauma and dissociative psychopathology: Mediation versus moderation
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1. Introduction

Impulsivity is a personality trait, or a cognitive–emotional style, characterized by a tendency to act quickly on urges, primarily because of an impaired capacity to regulate negative emotional states. Impulsivity can be viewed on a continuum along which low levels are advantageous in certain circumstances needing quick decisions while high levels are often maladaptive and implicated in the etiology of psychiatric illness. The construct has been identified with a class of psychiatric disorders characterized by behavioral dyscontrol (Brodsky et al., 2001).

Studies among trauma survivors, particularly survivors of childhood trauma, have demonstrated that many survivors report emotional and behavioral adjustment difficulties that are associated with impulsivity and psychological dysregulation. These two concepts are related processes that were seen as jointly contributing to a high frequency of interpersonal conflict, impulsive acts, and self-injurious behavior (Simeon et al., 1992; Bornovolova et al., 2005; Gratz, 2006), gambling (Afifi et al., 2010), eating disorders (Reto et al., 1993), addictive behaviors (Somer, 2003), violence (McCory and Viding, 2010), and suicidal behavior (Ullman and Najdowski, 2009). In addition, impulsivity was shown to be associated with Post-traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) in survivors of childhood trauma (Beers and De Bellis, 2002).
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One of the most salient pathological effects of childhood trauma is dissociation (van Ijzendoorn and Schuengel, 1996). Traumatic experiences during childhood, when the tendency to dissociate is in its normal peak (Steiner et al., 2003), may prompt the persistent use of this defense to ward off the conscious awareness of sensations, emotions or memories related to the traumatic experiences. The repetitive use of dissociation could develop into chronic pathological dissociation.

Clinical reports on trauma survivors observed a co-existence of dissociative phenomenon and impulsivity (e.g., Stone, 1989). These impressions were supported by studies of psychiatric patients in general (Fehon et al., 2005; Merckelbach et al., 2005), and those with eating disorders (Vanderlinden and Vandereycken, 1997; Fuller-Tyszkiewicz and Mussap, 2008), substance abuse (Zlotnick et al., 1997), borderline personality disorders (Steiger et al., 2000), self-mutilation (Zlotnick et al., 1996) and pathological gambling (Lederwood and Petry, 2006), in particular. Nevertheless, the nature of the association between impulsivity and trauma-related dissociation has not yet been conclusively established.

The broad construct of impulsivity has been characterized traditionally as a stable and enduring trait (e.g., Eysenck and Eysenck, 1977; Cloninger et al., 1993). One hypothesis is that this trait is an independent risk factor for psychiatric symptomatology (Duka and Crews, 2009), which, when interacting with exposure to childhood trauma, can contribute to elevated levels of pathological dissociation. This moderating hypothesis is indirectly supported by studies documenting the genetic component in impulsivity (Baca-García et al., 2005; Congdon and Canil, 2008; Oades et al., 2008) with several studies...
implicating serotonin deficiencies (e.g., Stein et al., 1996; Siever et al., 1999; Skodol et al., 2002). The moderating hypothesis is also in line with evidence of a significant association between impulsivity and psychopathologies that are not necessarily related to traumatic exposure, such as conduct disorder, various personality disorders or bipolar disorder (see Moeller et al., 2001). In fact, impulsivity is a prevalent psychiatric symptom, featuring in a variety of psychiatric diagnoses (American Psychiatric Association, 2000).

The hypothesized moderating role of impulsivity is further supported by recent findings of Fox et al. (2010), documenting that impulsivity moderated the association between exposure to stress and hazardous alcohol consumption among a community sample of regular drinkers (Fox et al., 2010). More specifically, they showed that a positive significant association between the level of cumulative stress and hazardous drinking was observed only among individuals with high levels of impulsivity, but not among those with low or moderate levels of impulsivity.

A theoretically divergent proposition presented in the literature is that increased impulsivity is an effect of traumatic experiences, resulting in pathological dissociation; thus suggesting that the association between childhood trauma and dissociation is mediated by impulsivity. This hypothesis is supported by studies indicating an association between impulsivity and a traumatic childhood history (e.g., Romans et al., 1995; Kaplan et al., 1995; Zlotnick et al., 1997; Brodsky et al., 2001; Roy, 2005). Similarly, neurobiological studies show dysregulation in hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis stress response systems and associated neurotransmitters and neuropeptides among survivors of childhood trauma (Heim and Nemeroff, 2001; Van der Kolk, 2003) as well as among individuals displaying impulsive behavior (e.g., King et al., 1990; Rosenblatt et al., 2001).

The mediation hypothesis has received further support from studies showing that impulsivity and psychological dysregulation mediate the association between child maltreatment and various maladaptive and painful consequences such as bullying behavior and being victimized by peers (Shields and Cicchetti, 2001), emotional distress (Maughan and Cicchetti, 2002), eating disorders (Wonderlich et al., 2001) and psychopathology (Alink et al., 2009). The most specific support for the mediation hypothesis was reported by Briere (2006). In his study, psychological dysregulation, seen as deficits in strategies, coping, and tension-reducing behavior, mediated the association between the level of exposure to traumatic events and dissociation. Briere’s conceptualization of dysregulation is grounded in behavioral variables not dissimilar to widely accepted operationalizations of impulsivity (e.g. the Barrett Impulsivity Scale; BIS-11; Patton et al., 1995).

In light of the inconsistent evidence and theorizing concerning the role of impulsivity in the association between childhood trauma and dissociation, we aimed to examine the mechanism that links traumatic childhood history, impulsivity and dissociation. Since childhood trauma, dissociation, and impulsivity are mostly prevalent among psychiatric patients, and most of the researches on the relationships between these constructs were done with clinical populations, we decided to test our research question with a sample of non-chronic psychiatric patients. Thus, in this study specifically, we examined the hypothesis that impulsivity in a clinical sample would be related to childhood trauma and pathological dissociation and, we explored whether impulsivity moderated or mediated the relationship between trauma and dissociation. Understanding the exact nature of relationship between impulsivity and both the dependent and independent variables in this study is of key importance. A moderating role for impulsivity would shed some theoretical light on why some trauma survivors are more vulnerable to dissociative psychopathology than others and could point clinicians to a potential at-risk group among survivors of childhood trauma. If impulsivity played a mediating role in the relationship between childhood trauma and dissociative psychopathology, it could be identified as an important clinical focus in the treatment of dissociative disorders.

2. Method

2.1. Participants and data collection

The research staff approached 96 acute psychiatric inpatients from open, low security wards of two psychiatric hospitals. Only individuals who did not have an appointed legal guardian, and who were hospitalized for at least 1 week, were invited to participate in the study. Eighty-one patients (84%) who had also participated in a study on undetected dissociative disorders among psychiatric inpatients (Ginzburg et al., 2010) became respondents in this research. Fifty-nine percent of the participants were male. Participants ranged in age from 18 to 65 years (M = 34.18, standard deviation (S.D.) = 11.3). Most participants were single (51.7%), the rest were either married (12.3%) or separated/divorced (25.9%). Half the sample had 12 years of education (51.6%), 28.4% had fewer years of education and the rest (19.8%) had completed more than 12 years of education. Most of the inpatients were unemployed at the time of data collection (69.1%). Half the sample (35.6%) had a diagnosis of schizophrenia, and the records of one third (34.5%) indicated an affective disorder. Fourteen percent of the patients were diagnosed with a personality disorder, with or without a comorbid disorder. Eighty-five percent had a single psychiatric disorder, and the rest (14.8%) had two or more concurrent diagnoses. At the time of data collection patients had been hospitalized for an average of 4.61 weeks (S.D. = 4.12). Mean number of previous hospital admissions was 4.34 (S.D. = 4.82). The study was undertaken after the approval of the research design by institutional Helsinki and university Institutional Review Board (IRB) committees. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to data collection. To ensure comprehension and optimal validity of responses, all research questionnaires were read to the participants in this study, who were then invited to respond orally.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. Biographical variables

Data regarding gender, age, marital status, number of years of education and occupation were gathered using self-report questionnaires. Data on psychiatric diagnoses, number of admissions and length of current hospitalization were collected from medical records.

2.2.2. The Child Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ; Bernstein et al., 1994)

This self-report childhood trauma measure assesses childhood trauma history, such as emotional abuse or neglect, physical abuse or neglect and sexual abuse. The CTQ has been demonstrated to have strong psychometric properties in both clinical and community samples (Bernstein et al., 1994). Cronbach’s alpha in the current sample was 0.84, demonstrating good internal consistency.

2.2.3. Multidimensional Inventory of dissociation – Hebrew version (H-MID)

This self-report inventory of pathological dissociation, developed by Dell (2006), was translated into Hebrew and validated by Sonner and Dell (2005). The H-MID is comprised of 168 dissociation items and 50 validity items. Respondents are asked to indicate how often they experience each symptom when not under the influence of alcohol or drugs. Total score ranges between 0 and 100. A score of 30 and above is considered a cut-off mark indicative of probable dissociative psychopathology (Dell, 2008). Previous studies demonstrated strong psychometric properties for the MID and its Hebrew version (Dell, 2006; Sonner and Dell, 2005). Cronbach’s alpha in the current sample was 0.59, indicating excellent internal consistency.

2.2.4. Barratt Impulsiveness Scale (BIS-11; Patton et al., 1995)

This self-report impulsivity questionnaire has been validated in both impulsive and normal populations. It consists of attentional (inattention and cognitive instability), motor (motor impulsiveness and lack of perseverance), and non-planning (lack of self-control and intolerance of cognitive complexity) forms of impulsivity. Respondents are asked to indicate the extent to which each item describes them, on a 4-point Likert scale. The BIS-11 has been demonstrated to have strong psychometric properties in both clinical and community samples (e.g., Carrillo-de-la-Peña et al., 1993). Cronbach’s alpha in the current sample was 0.85, indicating high reliability.

2.3. Data analyses

First, the relations between participants’ biographical variables, including trauma history and impulsivity, were examined by a series of Pearson correlations and t tests. The examination of the moderation and mediation models was based on Baron and Kenny’s (1986) conceptualization. To examine a moderation role for impulsivity in the association between childhood trauma and pathological dissociation, we examined the contribution of the independent variables (childhood trauma and impulsivity) and the interaction between them, to the variance of pathological dissociation, after controlling for participants’ demographic variables and psychiatric history (age, gender, age of onset of psychiatric disorder, length of current hospitalization, number of hospitalizations, and psychiatric comorbidity). According to this procedure, significant interaction between child trauma and impulsivity would suggest that the association between childhood trauma and pathological dissociation is affected (i.e., moderated) by the level of impulsivity. To examine whether impulsivity mediates the association between child trauma and dissociation, we followed a procedure described by Baron and Kenny (1986),
who suggested that a variable functions as a mediator when it meets the following conditions: (a) variations in levels of the independent variable (childhood trauma) significantly account for variations in the presumed mediator (impulsivity), (b) variations in the mediator (impulsivity) significantly account for variations in the dependent variable (pathological dissociation), and (c) when the association between the mediator (impulsivity) and dependent variable (pathological dissociation) is controlled, the previously significant association between the independent (childhood trauma) and dependent (pathological dissociation) variables decreases significantly. Condition (a) was examined by a series of Pearson correlations between impulsivity and the various measures of child maltreatment, including the composite trauma score. Condition (b) was examined by a Pearson correlation between impulsivity and severity of pathological dissociation, and a t-test examining the difference between the mean levels of impulsivity of psychiatric inpatients with probable pathological dissociation (H-MID scores at or above 30) and patients who were unlikely to suffer from pathological dissociation. Condition (c) was examined by hierarchical regression, controlling for participants’ demographic variables and psychiatric history. Sobel test further examined the significance of the mediating association.

Both regression models were conducted after independent variables had been standardized (Z scores) before being entered into a multiple regression analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Impulsivity and biographical variables

Impulsivity was not associated with age ($r = -0.00$, n.s.) or gender ($t = -0.76$, n.s.), and was inversely associated with level of education ($r = -0.33$, $p < 0.01$). Severity of impulsivity was associated with the number of comorbid psychiatric diagnoses assigned to the patient (no mention of dissociative psychopathologies was detected in the medical records of this sample, Ginzburg et al., 2010). That is, patients whose records specified two or more concurring psychiatric diagnoses reported higher levels of impulsivity ($M = 80.33$, S.D. = 17.17) compared to those who had a single psychiatric diagnosis ($M = 68.13$, S.D. = 14.07; $t(79) = 2.68$; $p < 0.01$). Neither number of previous psychiatric hospitalizations nor onset ages of the mental health disorder were associated with severity of impulsivity ($r = 0.13$, n.s.; $r = -0.09$, n.s., respectively).

3.2. Correlations between child trauma and impulsivity and dissociation

As can be seen in Table 1, impulsivity was significantly associated with all childhood trauma subtypes, as well as with the total child maltreatment score. Pathological dissociation was significantly related to all forms of child maltreatment, except for physical neglect.

Finally, severity of impulsivity was associated with pathological dissociation ($r = 0.44$, $p < 0.0001$). Similarly, psychiatric inpatients with probable dissociative disorders (H-MID scores of 30 or higher) had higher levels of impulsivity ($M = 78.41$, S.D. = 14.84) compared to those who were unlikely to suffer from pathological dissociation ($M = 66.78$, S.D. = 14.84; $t(79) = 3.27$; $p < 0.01$).

3.3. Moderation analysis

To examine whether impulsivity moderated the association between childhood trauma and pathological dissociation we tested a regression model into which childhood trauma, impulsivity, and the interaction between them were entered, after controlling for age, gender and psychiatric history. The results of the regression model are represented in Table 2 as model 1. As can be seen, psychiatric comorbidity, childhood trauma and impulsivity were significantly associated with pathological dissociation. The interaction between the two variables did not contribute significantly to the explained variance of dissociation ($F(9,70) = 6.87$, $p < 0.001$; adjusted $R^2 = 0.43$), leading us to conclude that impulsivity did not play a moderating role in the relationship between childhood trauma and dissociative psychopathology.

### 3.4. Meditational analysis

A linear hierarchical regression was conducted to examine the unique and cumulative contribution of patients’ demographic variables (age and gender) and psychiatric history (age of onset; psychiatric comorbidity, number of hospitalizations; stage 1), level of exposure to child maltreatment (stage 2), and impulsivity (stage 3) to the variance of pathological dissociation.

The regression model explained 44% of the variance of dissociative psychopathology ($F(8,70) = 7.76$, $p < 0.001$). The results of this regression are presented in Table 2 as model 2. As can be seen, psychiatric comorbidity and child maltreatment made a significant contribution to pathological dissociation. Individuals with more than one psychiatric diagnosis noted in their hospital records reported higher levels of pathological dissociation than those identified as suffering from a singular disorder, and severity of child maltreatment was positively associated with pathological dissociation. In addition, impulsivity was positively associated with pathological dissociation. Finally, impulsivity was found to be a mediator for the association between childhood trauma and dissociation, as the inclusion of impulsivity in the regression model in step 3 markedly decreased the

### Table 2

| Beta coefficients of regression models with pathological dissociation as the dependent variable. |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Predictor       | Model 1         | Model 2         |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                 | Moderating      | Mediating       |
| Gender          | 0.19 2.04 1.72  | 0.19 2.04 1.72  |
| Age             | -0.26 -2.93 1.65 | -0.26 -2.93 1.65 |
| Age of onset    | 0.07 2.92 0.45  | 0.07 2.92 0.45  |
| Psychiatric comorbidity | 0.54*** | 1.85 4.94 0.54*** |
| Number of hospitalizations | -0.01 | 2.11 0.13 -0.01 |
| Length of current admission | -0.07 | 1.74 0.71 -0.07 |
| Child maltreatment | - | -0.31 -0.01 |
| Child maltreatment | 0.06 2.05 2.78 | 0.06 1.96 0.09 0.12 |

* $p < 0.05$.
** $p < 0.01$.
*** $p < 0.001$.  

### Table 1

| Pearson correlations between childhood trauma and pathological dissociation.|
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Predictor       | Impulsivity     | Dissociation    |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Physical neglect| 0.26*           | 0.12            |
| Physical abuse  | 0.31**          | 0.37***         |
| Emotional neglect| 0.41**          | 0.23            |
| Emotional abuse | 0.44***         | 0.42***         |
| Sexual abuse    | 0.33**          | 0.26            |
| Total child maltreatment score | 0.44*** | 0.37***         |

* $p < 0.05$.
** $p < 0.01$.
*** $p < 0.001$.  

---
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association between childhood trauma and dissociation, indicating that impulsivity mediated the relationship between childhood trauma and psychological dissociation. Sobel test for moderation yielded a significant result (Sobel test = 2.11, Standard Error = 1.11, p < 0.05).

4. Discussion

A significant positive relationship emerged between childhood maltreatment and impulsivity, dissociative psychopathology, and psychiatric comorbidity. These results support evidence linking childhood trauma with multiple psychiatric diagnoses (e.g., Sansone et al., 2005), and add to the existing knowledge summarized in a meta-analytic review of dissociation studies (Van Ijzendoorn and Schuengel, 1996) indicating a significant relationship of moderate and high effect size between sexual or physical abuse and the dissociative symptoms. We have recently reported that multiple psychiatric diagnoses were associated with more severe dissociative symptoms compared with only a single psychiatric disorder (Ginzburg et al., 2010). The main purpose of the current research article was to shed light on the role of impulsivity in the association between childhood trauma and pathological dissociation.

Impulsivity was positively associated with psychiatric comorbidity and with both the independent and dependent variables, but was negatively associated with level of education. Although causality might not be inferred from cross-sectional correlation data, our findings are compatible with Wiebe’s (1987) proposition that impulsivity interferes with the ability to persevere in formal education systems as implied by the relationship between Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder and academic dropout (Gilbert, 2005).

Individuals with impulsivity do not seem to be at greater risk for the development of dissociative psychopathology. However, in line with Brierie’s (2006) findings, our data imply that impulsivity could be a mediator between childhood trauma and dissociative psychopathology, since the association between child maltreatment and dissociation became insignificant when impulsivity was entered into the regression model. These findings have theoretical implications suggesting that the association between childhood trauma and dissociative psychopathology, a relationship well described in the literature, is complex. Childhood trauma is a known destabilizing factor of neuro-psychological regulatory functions of which, impulsivity, is a behavioral manifestation. Our data intitate that impulsivity might have played a contributing role in the development of psychopathological dissociation among psychiatric patients with a history of childhood trauma. We surmise that some dysregulated survivors could have down-regulated arousal with dissociative responses that later had crystallized into dissociative psychopathology. Clinically, this finding might imply that effective treatment of dissociative psychopathology should strive to identify and address potentially underlying dysregulating phenomena such as impulsivity.

The results of this study must be evaluated in light of its limitations. First, the relatively small sample size and the absence of a community sample might affect the ability to generalize our data. Second, although the fact that data collection was clinician-administered rather than purely self-reported was a strength of this study; it would have been advantageous to supplement the assessment of impulsivity with observational data.

5. Conclusion

These findings underline the importance of studying mediating processes that explain how the abuse and neglect of children produce dissociative disorders. However, to move beyond theoretical speculations, further research is needed to spell out the role of affect regulation capacity and its behavioral expressions in the relationship between trauma and dissociation. Since psychological dysregulation and impulsivity might play a contributing role in the etiology as well as in the preservation of dissociative psychopathology, a possible practical implication of our findings is that dissociative disordered individuals might benefit from a treatment protocol that includes training in emotional and behavioral regulation.
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